



## Antitrust/Labor & Employment ADVISORY ■

**APRIL 11, 2018**

### With New Round of No-Poach Allegations, Government Fires a Shot Across the Bow at Anticompetitive Employment Practices

In the first of what is expected to be a new wave of antitrust challenges to agreements among companies not to recruit or hire each other's employees, the U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division (DOJ) recently announced its first prosecution under its 2016 guidelines on the subject.

On April 3, 2018, the DOJ [filed and settled a complaint](#) against two leading rail equipment companies, Knorr-Bremse AG and Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation (Wabtec), alleging that the companies agreed not to hire each other's employees. The parties resolved the civil lawsuit by agreeing to refrain from entering or enforcing such no-poach agreements and implementing compliance and reporting measures to ensure that they do not enter into future anticompetitive employment agreements.

Threats of government enforcement against anticompetitive employment practices have been bubbling since fall 2016, when the Obama Administration's DOJ released [guidelines](#) stating for the first time that it "will criminally investigate allegations that employers have agreed among themselves on employee compensation or not to solicit or hire each [other's] employees." While the guidelines recognize the legitimate role of noncompetes in certain business contexts, the DOJ took aim at unjustified "naked" restraints it said only harmed employees. Both the DOJ and the Federal Trade Commission (which also signed on to the guidelines) had brought civil suits against anticompetitive employment agreements in previous years.<sup>1</sup> But the DOJ had not previously stated its intent to use its extensive criminal prosecution powers in this area. And, in a further surprise, after taking office in 2017, President Trump's political appointees at the DOJ revealed that there were multiple open investigations under the guidelines and publicly committed to pursuing them.

In the new case, Knorr-Bremse and Wabtec allegedly entered into "no-poach" agreements with each other and a third rail equipment supplier, Faiveley Transport (which Wabtec recently acquired) in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Specifically, through senior executives, the parties allegedly agreed not to solicit, recruit, hire without prior approval, or otherwise compete for employees. As a result, according to the government, the companies restrained competition for employees and disrupted the normal bargaining and price-setting mechanisms that apply in the labor market. Such agreements, the DOJ asserts, are per se unlawful restraints of trade, similar to other market allocation agreements.

<sup>1</sup> In 2010, the DOJ brought civil antitrust cases against some of the largest high-tech companies, including Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intuit Inc., and Pixar, alleging that they had committed per se violations of Section 1 for entering into agreements to prohibit each company from "cold calling" each other's employees. *United States v. Adobe Systems Inc.*, No. 10-cv-01629 (D.D.C. Oct. 1, 2010). And in 2012, the DOJ also brought a civil suit against eBay for its agreement with Intuit not to recruit each other's employees. *United States v. eBay Inc.*, No. 12-cv-05869 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 2012). The DOJ resolved both suits by consent decrees with the parties, but private plaintiffs sued for damages in class actions and won settlements of almost half a billion dollars.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

The DOJ explained that it did not bring [criminal charges](#) in this case because the conduct, which it identified during its review of Wabtec's proposed acquisition of Faiveley, was halted before the issuance of the 2016 DOJ/FTC guidelines. The DOJ has made clear in public speeches that it intends to bring criminal charges against companies that continued to engage in illegal conduct after those guidelines were issued.

Even as it announced the settlement with Knorr and Wabtec, the DOJ reiterated that more prosecutions should be expected. "Today's complaint [against Knorr and Wabtec] is part of a broader investigation by the Antitrust Division into naked agreements not to compete for employees—generally referred to as no-poach agreements," Assistant Attorney General Makan Delrahim said in a DOJ press release. DOJ officials also confirmed to reporters that the division has open civil and criminal investigations into other no-poach agreements in the rail equipment industry and other sectors.

With these mounting warnings – and growing interest in protecting employees' rights to competitive labor markets from state attorneys general and Congress – executives and legal departments of companies active in the U.S. markets should reexamine whether any noncompete or nonsolicit agreements the company enters into could be considered "naked" restraints, rather than ancillary to a legitimate business purpose. Moreover, even when such agreements are justifiable as a critical element of a broader pro-competitive arrangement, companies must be prepared to demonstrate that the restrictions are appropriately limited in time and scope so as to minimize any potential anticompetitive effects. Given the role that both high-level executives and human resources departments often play in employment-related decisions, companies should reevaluate whether their antitrust compliance training and policies have appropriately sensitized the right people to the scope of these increased risks. And everyone will want to study the fact patterns of future cases brought by the DOJ – possibly involving less clear-cut "no poach" or "no hire" agreements – to further understand the government's priorities in this area.

You can subscribe to future *Antitrust/Labor & Employment* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our [publications subscription form](#).

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

## Select Members of Alston & Bird's Antitrust Group

Randall L. Allen  
404.881.7196  
randall.allen@alston.com

Rodney J. Ganske  
404.881.4996  
rod.ganske@alston.com

H. Suzanne Smith  
404.881.7959  
suzanne.smith@alston.com

Kelley Connolly Barnaby  
202.239.3687  
kelley.barnaby@alston.com

Michael P. Kenny  
404.881.7179  
mike.kenny@alston.com

John M. Snyder  
202.239.3960  
john.snyder@alston.com

Debra D. Bernstein  
404.881.4476  
debra.bernstein@alston.com

Matthew D. Kent  
404.881.7948  
matthew.kent@alston.com

Andrew J. Tuck  
404.881.7134  
andy.tuck@alston.com

Adam J. Biegel  
202.239.3692  
adam.biegel@alston.com

B. Parker Miller  
404.881.4970  
parker.miller@alston.com

Valarie C. Williams  
404.881.7631  
valarie.williams@alston.com

Teresa T. Bonder  
415.243.1010  
teresa.bonder@alston.com

Leslie C. Overton  
202.239.3012  
leslie.overton@alston.com

## Select Members of Alston & Bird's Labor & Employment Group

Ashley Brightwell  
404.881.7767  
ashley.brightwell@alston.com

Chris Marquardt  
404.881.7827  
chris.marquardt@alston.com

Lisa Cassilly  
404.881.7945  
lisa.cassilly@alston.com

Charlie Morgan  
404.881.7187  
charlie.morgan@alston.com

Brett Coburn  
404.881.4990  
brett.coburn@alston.com

Glenn Patton  
404.881.7785  
glenn.patton@alston.com

Clare Draper  
404.881.7191  
clare.draper@alston.com

# ALSTON & BIRD

[WWW.ALSTON.COM](http://WWW.ALSTON.COM)

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2018

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777  
 BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86.10.85927500  
 BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719  
 CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111  
 DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899  
 LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100  
 NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444  
 RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260  
 SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001  
 SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ 5th Floor ■ East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2282 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001  
 WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333