

Labor and Employment **ADVISORY**

August 26, 2010

Dramatic, Employer-Friendly Changes to Georgia's Restrictive Covenant Law in the Hands of Georgia Voters

On November 2, 2010, Georgia voters may make it easier for employers to enforce restrictive covenants. House Bill 173 ("H.B. 173"), passed by the Georgia Legislature on April 1, 2009, and signed by Governor Perdue on April 29, 2009, proposes broad changes to restrictive covenants in the employment context. The proposed changes will take effect only if a majority of voters approve an amendment to the Georgia Constitution on November 2, 2010.

Current Restrictive Covenant Law

Restrictive covenants, which include non-solicitation, non-competition, non-disclosure, non-recruitment, confidentiality and trade secret provisions, have been notoriously difficult to draft and enforce in Georgia. Nearly every state subjects such covenants to a "reasonableness" requirement; however, under Georgia law, covenants are subjected to a significantly more rigorous review than in most states. One reason for such treatment is that the Georgia Constitution specifies that contracts that restrain trade are illegal and void. Based on this provision, courts interpreting restrictive covenants under Georgia law require the provisions of the covenant to be reasonable in the time, area and scope of activities prohibited. Without any specific definitions or provisions, reasonableness is determined by the courts and is subject to modification by subsequent case law.

Additionally, courts are not permitted to modify or "blue pencil" the conditions of a restrictive covenant to make them reasonable. Because any problem with the terms of the covenant could render the contract unenforceable, Georgia employers often draft restrictive covenants as narrowly as possible to ensure enforcement. This narrow drafting, however, often results in little protection for employers.

Georgia legislators previously recognized that Georgia restrictive covenant law was too harsh on employers and passed a law attempting to rework the courts' interpretation of restrictive covenants. The Georgia Supreme Court, however, found the legislation unconstitutional in *Jackson & Coker, Inc. v. Hart*, 405 S.E.2d 253 (Ga. 1991).

H.B. 173 and H.R. 178

In response to lobbying efforts by employers, the Georgia legislature drafted House Bill 173 for the purpose of "protecting legitimate business interests and creating an environment that is favorable to attracting commercial enterprises to Georgia and keeping existing businesses within the state."

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

The bill provides more guidelines for determining the reasonableness of a restrictive covenant and establishes more lenient interpretation of restrictive covenants by courts. Below are some of the more significant changes the bill would make to how courts review restrictive covenants:

- Courts are permitted to enforce portions of a restrictive covenant that are reasonable, even if other portions are unenforceable. The bill essentially reverses the current ban on “blue penciling.”
- The bill defines key terms applicable to the interpretation of restrictive covenants, including confidential information, employee, legitimate business interest and material contact.
- For non-competition covenants, time restrictions of two years or less following the termination of employment are presumed reasonable.
- A non-solicitation covenant is assumed to apply to all customers with whom the employee had “material contact.” The definition of “material contact” in the bill is expanded beyond the current common law definition.
- An expressed reference to a geographic area is not required to make a non-solicitation covenant enforceable.
- The provisions of the bill specifically exclude employees who lack “selective or specialized skills, learning, or abilities or customer contacts, customer information, or confidential information.”
- Confidentiality and trade secret covenants do not require time restraints.

In order to ensure that this bill would pass a constitutional challenge, H.B. 173 operates in conjunction with H.R. 178. H.R. 178 provides for a ballot referendum to amend the Georgia Constitution. The provisions of H.B. 173 will not take effect unless voters ratify the amendment. The wording of the referendum states:

Shall the Constitution of Georgia be amended so as to make Georgia more economically competitive by authorizing legislation to uphold reasonable competitive agreements?

What Does This Mean for Employers?

H.B. 173 and H.R. 178 enjoyed wide, bipartisan support in the legislature, and the ballot referendum is not expected to be strongly opposed. If Georgia voters approve the referendum, the changes to the restrictive covenant law set forth in H.B. 173 will become effective on November 3, 2010. The changed law, however, will only be applied to contracts entered into on or after November 3. Contracts entered into November 2, 2010, or before will continue to be governed by the current law. If voters ratify the constitutional amendment on November 2, employers should consult with their attorneys to revise contracts for incoming and current employees to take advantage of the more employer-friendly law.

If you would like to receive future *Labor and Employment Advisories* electronically, please forward your contact information including e-mail address to labor.advisory@alston.com. Please be sure to put “**subscribe**” in the subject line.

If you have any questions or would like additional information please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Alston & Bird's Labor and Employment Group

ATLANTA

Ashley D. Brightwell
404.881.7767
ashley.brightwell@alston.com

Lisa H. Cassilly
404.881.7945
lisa.cassilly@alston.com

Brett E. Coburn
404.881.4990
brett.coburn@alston.com

Patrick L. Coyle
404.881.4367
patrick.coyle@alston.com

Clare H. Draper IV
404.881.7191
clare.draper@alston.com

R. Steve Ensor
404.881.7448
steve.ensor@alston.com

Kimberly L. Fogarty
404.881.4502
kim.fogarty@alston.com

Charles A. Gartland II
404.881.4978
chuck.gartland@alston.com

Marilee Fiebig Holmes
404.881.4374
marilee.holmes@alston.com

Melissa S. Hsieh
404.881.7371
melissa.hsieh@alston.com

Molly M. Jones
404.881.4993
molly.jones@alston.com

J. Thomas Kilpatrick
404.881.7819
tom.kilpatrick@alston.com

Christopher C. Marquardt
404.881.7827
chris.marquardt@alston.com

Charles H. Morgan
404.881.7187
charlie.morgan@alston.com

Edmund M. Morrell
404.881.7953
edmund.morrell@alston.com

Glenn G. Patton
404.881.7785
glenn.patton@alston.com

Robert P. Riordan
404.881.7682
bob.riordan@alston.com

Jonathan A. Roth
404.881.7551
jon.roth@alston.com

Eileen M. Scofield
404.881.7375
eileen.scofield@alston.com

Alicia P. Starkman
404.881.4994
alicia.starkman@alston.com

Camille W. Ward
404.881.7876
camille.ward@alston.com

CHARLOTTE

Susan B. Molony
704.444.1121
susan.molony@alston.com

DALLAS

Jon G. Shepherd
214.922.3418
jon.shepherd@alston.com

NEW YORK

Stephen S. Hart
212.210.9463
stephen.hart@alston.com

James F. Moyle
212.210.9454
james.moyle@alston.com

LOS ANGELES

Lindsay G. Carlson
213.576.1038
lindsay.carlson@alston.com

Erin L. Connolly
213.576.1024
erin.connolly@alston.com

Rory J. Diamond
213.576.1146
rory.diamond@alston.com

Martha S. Doty
213.576.1145
martha.doty@alston.com

Jesse M. Jauregui
213.576.1157
jesse.jauregui@alston.com

Deborah Yoon Jones
213.576.1084
debbie.jones@alston.com

Sayaka Karitani
213.576.1026
sayaka.karitani@alston.com

Nicole C. Rivas
213.576.1021
nicole.rivas@alston.com

Casondra K. Ruga
213.576.1133
casondra.ruga@alston.com

Michael D. Young
213.576.1135
mike.young@alston.com

ATLANTA

One Atlantic Center
1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30309-3424
404.881.7000

CHARLOTTE

Bank of America Plaza
Suite 4000
101 South Tryon Street
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000
704.444.1000

DALLAS

Chase Tower
Suite 3601
2200 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75201
214.922.3400

LOS ANGELES

333 South Hope Street
16th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3004
213.576.1000

NEW YORK

90 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-1387
212.210.9400

RESEARCH TRIANGLE

Suite 600
3201 Beechleaf Court
Raleigh, NC 27604-1062
919.862.2200

SILICON VALLEY

275 Middlefield Road
Suite 150
Menlo Park, CA 94025-4004
650.838.2000

VENTURA COUNTY

Suite 215
2801 Townsgate Road
Westlake Village, CA 91361
805.497.9474

WASHINGTON, D.C.

The Atlantic Building
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004-1404
202.756.3300

www.alston.com

© Alston & Bird LLP 2010