## ALSTON&BIRD LLP







WWW.ALSTON.COM

#### Government Investigations ADVISORY •

**JUNE 27, 2014** 

# U.S. District Judge Rejects Argument that Wartime Suspension of Limitations Act Tolls Statute of Limitations in False Claims Act Lawsuit Against Lance Armstrong

On June 19, in a widely-publicized False Claims Act (FCA) case known for its high-profile defendant, Lance Armstrong, a federal judge in the District of Columbia issued a significant procedural ruling barring the plaintiff's ability to toll the statute of limitations on the basis of the Wartime Suspension of Limitations Act (WSLA). U.S. District Judge Robert Wilkins rejected the argument raised by the relator, Floyd Landis, that the WSLA tolled the FCA's applicable statute of limitations period beginning September 18, 2001, when Congress authorized the use of military force in Afghanistan. Judge Wilkins held that the WSLA tolls only those offenses involving a specific intent to defraud the government, which the FCA does not require. This is the first time that a federal court has reached this conclusion. Although the decision may be later appealed, it is for now a significant victory for FCA defendants seeking to time-bar stale claims alleged by relators to have been fraudulent.

#### **Background**

In *United States ex rel. Landis v. Tailwind Sports Corp.*, 10-CV-00976, 2014 WL 2772907 (D.D.C. June 19, 2014), the relator brought suit against Lance Armstrong and other individuals and companies associated with the United States Postal Service (USPS) cycling team alleging that the defendants breached their sponsorship agreements and submitted false claims for payment. Two sponsorship agreements between the USPS and the defendants—one in 1995 and one in 2000—included provisions that mandated compliance with the rules of the Union Cycliste International (UCI) and the International Olympic Committee (IOC). The UCI and IOC both prohibited the use of certain performance enhancing drugs. The relator and the government (which intervened in the case) alleged that the defendants had used banned performance enhancing techniques or encouraged such use, leading to the submission of false claims to USPS for sponsorship funds.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 2

#### The District Court's Decision on the WSLA

The defendants moved to dismiss all claims on a variety of grounds, including the statute of limitations. The district judge found that the six-year limitations period for FCA allegations applied to the relator and thus claims based on conduct prior to June 10, 2004—six years prior to the relator's filing of the complaint on June 10, 2010—were dismissed. The relator attempted to argue that the statute was tolled under the WSLA, which would allow him to bring claims based on allegedly fraudulent payments prior to the 2004 date. Judge Wilkins disagreed. Citing a 1953 Supreme Court decision, *United States v. Grainger*, 346 U.S. 235 (1953), which held that the WSLA applied only to offenses that "include fraud as an essential ingredient," Judge Wilkins interpreted that phrase as requiring specific intent to defraud the government as an essential element of the cause of action.

The district court noted that the FCA was amended in 1986 to specify that "no proof of specific intent to defraud is required" to establish FCA liability. Because FCA liability may lie without proof of specific intent to defraud, the court concluded that an FCA claim is not an offense that includes the necessary "fraud as an essential ingredient" to trigger tolling under the WSLA. Judge Wilkins therefore rejected the plaintiff's argument that the WSLA tolled the FCA allegations.

#### **Takeaways**

Whether the district court's decision will be appealed and/or followed by other federal courts outside of the District of Columbia remains to be seen. What is clear, however, is that Judge Wilkins' ruling is at odds with a decision issued last year by U.S. District Judge Jesse Furman of the Southern District of New York, in *United States v. Wells Fargo Bank*, N.A., 972 F. Supp. 2d 593 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).

Judge Furman also analyzed the *Grainger* decision in considering the plaintiff's argument that the WSLA tolled the statute of limitations for the FCA claims, but did not interpret the Supreme Court's phrase "fraud as an essential ingredient" as requiring proof of specific intent to defraud. Rather, Judge Furman noted that the FCA, at the time *Grainger* was decided, only required proof that the claims be submitted "knowing such claim to be false, fictitious, or fraudulent." Judge Furman then cited to numerous cases that define the knowledge component of fraud as including both actual knowledge as well as reckless disregard for the truth. Therefore, Judge Furman concluded, the FCA as amended in 1986—which includes liability for actual knowledge, deliberate ignorance of the truth, and reckless disregard of the truth—could be an offense that has "fraud as an essential ingredient" to trigger tolling under the WSLA.

Landis' conflict with Wells Fargo, and the absence of any appellate decision on point, suggests that there may be further developing case law on this issue. Alston & Bird will continue to monitor and report on these developments as they occur. However, unless and until such time that other courts rule on this issue, the Landis decision is a significant development and provides defendants with another tool to counter attempts by plaintiffs to shoehorn otherwise stale claims into their complaints alleging violations of the False Claims Act.

If you would like to receive future Government Investigations Advisories electronically, please forward your contact information to government.reporter@alston.com. Be sure to put "subscribe" in the subject line.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Craig Carpenito Co-Chair 212.210.9582 craig.carpenito@alston.com Michael L. Brown Co-Chair 404.881.7589 mike.brown@alston.com

George Abney 404-881-7980

george.abney@alston.com

Randall L. Allen 404-881-7196 randall.allen@alston.com

Jeffrey A. Belkin 404-881-7388 jeff.belkin@alston.com

Donna P. Bergeson 404-881-7278 donna.bergeson@alston.com

Cathy L. Burgess 202-239-3648 cathy.burgess@alston.com

Mark T. Calloway 704-444-1089 mark.calloway@alston.com

Marianne Roach Casserly 202-239-3379 marianne.casserly@alston.com

Steven M. Collins 404-881-7149 steve.collins@alston.com

Thomas E. Crocker 202.239.3318

thomas.crocker@alston.com

Christina Hull Eikhoff 404-881-4496 christy.eikhoff@alston.com

Rodney J. Ganske 404-881-4996 rod.ganske@alston.com

Mary C. Gill 404-881-7276 mary.gill@alston.com

James A. Harvey 404-881-7328 jim.harvey@alston.com

Katherine E. Hertel 213.576.2600 kate.hertel@alston.com

H. Douglas Hinson 404-881-7590 doug.hinson@alston.com

J. Andrew Howard 213-576-1057 andy.howard@alston.com

Brett D. Jaffe 212-210-9547 brett.jaffe@alston.com

William H. Jordan 404-881-7850 bill.jordan@alston.com Edward T. Kang 202-239-3728 edward.kang@alston.com

Louis A. Karasik 213-576-1148 lou.karasik@alston.com

John L. Latham 404-881-7915 john.latham@alston.com

Dawnmarie R. Matlock 404-881-4253 dawnmarie.matlock@alston.com

Wade Pearson Miller 404-881-4971 wade.miller@alston.com

William R. Mitchelson 404.881.7661 mitch.mitchelson@alston.com

Bruce Pasfield 202-239-3585 bruce.pasfield@alston.com

Kimberly K. Peretti 202.239.3720 kimberly.peretti@alston.com

T.C. Spencer Pryor 404.881.7978 spence.pryor@alston.com Theodore J. Sawicki 404-881-7639 tod.sawicki@alston.com

**Brian Stimson** 404-881-4972 brian.stimson@alston.com

Jason M. Waite 202.239.3455 jason.waite@alston.com

Kyle G.A. Wallace 404-881-7808 kyle.wallace@alston.com

Kenneth G. Weigel 202-239-3431 ken.weigel@alston.com

R. Neal Batson 404-881-7267 neal.batson@alston.com

Angela T. Burnette 404-881-7665

angie.burnette@alston.com

Brian J. Fields 212-210-9585 brian.fields@alston.com

Eileen M.G. Scofield 404-881-7375

eileen.scofield@alston.com

### ALSTON&BIRD IIP

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2013

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center • 1201 West Peachtree Street • Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 • 404.881.7000 • Fax: 404.881.7777 BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719 CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza • 101 South Tryon Street • Suite 4000 • Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 • 704.444.1000 • Fax: 704.444.1111 DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899 LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100 NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 12th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444 RESEARCH TRIANGLE: 4721 Emperor Blvd. Suite 400 Durham, North Carolina, USA, 27703-85802 919.862.2200 Fax: 919.862.2260 SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue • 5th Floor • East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2282 • 650.838.2000 • Fax: 650.838.2001 WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.756.3300 ■ Fax: 202.756.3333