



Federal Tax ADVISORY •

JULY 1, 2014

Hook Stock Split Down

LTR 201404002

Rev. Proc. 2014-3 provides that the IRS won't issue rulings on "the treatment or effects of hook equity, including as a result of its issuance, ownership, or redemption." It defines hook equity as "an ownership interest in a business entity (such as stock in a corporation) that is held by another business entity in which at least 50 percent of the interests (by vote or value) in such latter entity are held directly or indirectly by the former entity."

But a recent ruling involved hook stock and predated the no-rule. LTR 201404002 involved a surprising but somewhat common use of Section 355 to eliminate hook stock. It requires the invention of yet another spinoff term—this time, the split-down. The split-down refers to the fact that the stock of the Controlled corporation will be exchanged (split) with a subsidiary of the Distributing corporation that happens to be a shareholder of Distributing, meaning it holds hook stock.

Facts

D-2, a foreign corporation, owns Controlled (U.S.) and it also owns D-1 (foreign), which owns D-4 (U.S.), which owns stock of D-2 (the hook stock). D-2 exchanges the stock of Controlled for the hook stock held by D-4. As a result, the hook stock is eliminated and two U.S. subsidiaries of this foreign group are combined. It is highly likely that D-4 acquired the hook stock in preparation for this very result.

D-4 also spun off another U.S. subsidiary, C-3. The business purpose for the split-down was to facilitate that spinoff. The IRS ruled that the split-down qualified under Section 355.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 2

Issue

This ruling is the first to use the term split-down. It is not clear, but the likely purpose for eliminating the hook stock was to make certain that D-2 was in the affiliated group of its parent, D-5, which was also to make a spinoff in the combined transactions. Being in an affiliated group means a corporation's active trade or business can be counted for purposes of Section 355, thus easing that requirement for a spinoff.

If the hook stock were 30 percent of the stock of the corporation immediately below D-5, it might not be affiliated with D-5, because D-5 did not own 80 percent control of one member of the group. The IRS should not have had much trouble issuing the ruling to the extent the issue was affiliated because the corporations could not avoid being affiliated.

It also is not surprising that the IRS allowed a corporate subsidiary to be moved down another chain of corporation by way of a Section 355 transaction. Section 355 transactions have become the go-to vehicle for subsidiary relocation in internal spins.

Reg. Section 1.355-2(b)(3) provides: "If a corporate business purpose can be achieved through a nontaxable transaction that does not involve the distribution of stock of a controlled corporation and which is neither impractical nor unduly expensive, then, for purposes of paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the separation is not carried out for that corporate business purpose."

The stock of Controlled could have been moved into D-4 tax free through capital contributions. But that would not have eliminated the hook stock. The taxpayer did not represent that there was no nontaxable alternative. Taxpayers seldom give that representation, but sometimes they do.

Conclusion

Hook stock, including hook equity of a partnership, can arise for "innocent" reasons and tax-motivated reasons. An innocent reason would be an acquisitive reorganization in which the target had some of the acquirer's stock. A high–profile, tax-motivated reason is the Killer B transaction, where the parent stock is bought from the parent, but then spent in another transaction, so it does not remain as hook stock.

The circular ownership issues should be manageable, as shown by this last ruling on hook stock.

For more information, contact <u>Jack Cummings</u> at (919) 862-2302.

If you would like to receive future *Federal Tax Advisories* electronically, please forward your contact information to **taxgroup@alston.com**. Be sure to put "**subscribe**" in the subject line.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Federal Tax Group

Sam K. Kaywood, Jr. Edward Tanenbaum

Co-Chair Co-Chair 404.881.7481 212.210.9425

 $sam.kaywood@alston.com \\ edward.tanenbaum@alston.com$

George Abney Brian D. Harvel 404.881.7980 404.881.4491

george.abney@alston.com brian.harvel@alston.com

John F. Baron L. Andrew Immerman 704.444.1434 404.881,7532

john.baron@alston.com andy.immerman@alston.com

Henry J. Birnkrant Brian E. Lebowitz 202.239.3319 202.239.3394

henry.birnkrant@alston.com brian.lebowitz@alston.com

James E. Croker, Jr. Clay A. Littlefield 202.239.3309 704.444.1440

Jasper L. Cummings, Jr. Ashley B. Menser 919.862.2302 919.862.2209

jack.cummings@alston.com ashley.menser@alston.com

ALSTON&BIRD LLP _

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2014

```
ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777

BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719

CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111

DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899

LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100

NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 12th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444

RESEARCH TRIANGLE: 4721 Emperor Blvd. ■ Suite 400 ■ Durham, North Carolina, USA, 27703-85802 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260

SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ 5th Floor ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA, 94303-2282 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001

WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.756.3300 ■ Fax: 202.756.3333
```