ALSTON & BIRD



Labor & Employment ADVISORY

APRIL 25, 2017

California Supreme Court Creates More Confusion

The California Supreme Court recently created yet another exception to the enforceability of arbitration agreements with class action waivers, and in doing so generated more uncertainty about what companies should (and should not) include in their arbitration agreements. In *McGill v. Citibank*, Sharon McGill brought suit against the bank alleging unfair competition and false advertising. The focus of the case from the California Supreme Court's standpoint, however, was whether Citibank could force McGill to arbitrate her injunctive relief claim under California's unfair competition law (UCL). In a decision that further muddies the waters for companies doing business in the Golden State, and serves as another attempt by California courts to undermine the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA), the court held that arbitration agreements that waive public injunctive relief are unenforceable.

Background

McGill filed a class action suit against Citibank under the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act (CLRA), the UCL, and California's false advertising law. In response, Citibank filed a motion to compel McGill to individually arbitrate her claims based on the arbitration agreement she signed with the bank. Under that agreement, McGill agreed that she would pursue only individual claims and waived the right to bring claims on behalf of others. The trial court held that California law under the *Broughton–Cruz* rule¹ prohibited arbitration of public injunctive relief claims, or relief that would affect citizens around the state of California, and deemed the provision unenforceable. On appeal, the California Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision, determining that the FAA preempted California law. Reversing the appellate court's decision, the California's high court held that an agreement precluding public injunctive relief in *any* forum was unenforceable as contrary to California law. According to Justice Ming Chin, "Any one may waive the advantage of a law

¹ The Broughton–Cruz rule refers to California precedent established by two California Supreme Court decisions, Broughton v. Cigna Healthplans, 21 Cal. 4th 1066 (1999), and Cruz v. PacifiCare Health Systems Inc., 30 Cal. 4th 303 (2003), which together hold that agreements to arbitrate claims for public injunctive relief under the CLRA, UCL, or false advertising law are not enforceable in California.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

intended solely for his benefit. But a law established for a public reason cannot be contravened by a private agreement." The court further held that the FAA does not preempt this California law or require enforcement of the waiver provision.

The Fallout

Not surprisingly, the *Citibank* decision has generated confusion and concern across the state for employers who use arbitration agreements. As an initial matter, although the *Citibank* ruling applies to consumer arbitration agreements, it is possible that California trial courts will broadly apply *Citibank* to all kinds of arbitration agreements, including employment agreements. Consequently, California employers who use arbitration agreements are struggling with how to adapt to the uncertainty this ruling has produced.

Many California employers use employment agreements that contain arbitration agreements with class action waiver provisions similar to the one used by Citibank, and the court's ruling is problematic because it may leave these agreements vulnerable to attack. Before the *Citibank* decision, California courts have generally enforced arbitration agreements with class action waivers as long as they adhered to various rules adopted by the California courts, with the only exception being claims brought under California's Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA). Now, employers must concern themselves with whether California trial courts will enforce arbitration agreements with class action waivers.

While the only remaining recourse available would be to file a petition for certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court, it remains to be seen whether Citibank will take such action. There is no clear path forward at this point.

To the extent employers utilize agreements with class action waivers that arguably prohibit employees from seeking public injunctive relief, employers should anticipate that their agreements will be attacked in the trial courts. We are hopeful that the U.S. Supreme Court will bring some clarity to whether the FAA preempts California law.

In the meantime, employers can take steps to draft around the *Citibank* decision. More specifically, employers could modify arbitration agreements to include a provision giving parties the right to go to court to seek public injunctive relief following the arbitration of all other claims. Such a provision would waive the right to bring class or collective actions except for claims for public injunctive relief, and so maintain the class action waiver while presumably not offending the California Supreme Court's recent ruling.

If you would like to receive future *Labor & Employment Advisories* electronically, please forward your contact information to **labor.advisory@alston.com.** Be sure to put "**subscribe**" in the subject line.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

ATLANTA

Alexandra Garrison Barnett 404.881.7190 alex.barnett@alston.com

Ashley D. Brightwell 404.881.7767 ashley.brightwell@alston.com

Lisa H. Cassilly 404.881.7945 lisa.cassilly@alston.com

Brett E. Coburn 404.881.4990 brett.coburn@alston.com

Eleanor L. deGolian 404.881.7186 eleanor.degolian@alston.com

Clare H. Draper IV 404.881.7191 clare.draper@alston.com

R. Steve Ensor 404.881.7448 steve.ensor@alston.com

Kimberly L. Fogarty 404.881.4502 kim.fogarty@alston.com

Kristen Fox 404.881.4284 kristen.fox@alston.com Kandis Wood Jackson 404.881.7969 kandis.jackson@alston.com

J. Thomas Kilpatrick 404.881.7819 tom.kilpatrick@alston.com

Isabella Lee 404.881.7163 isabella.lee@alston.com

Christopher C. Marquardt 404.881.7827 chris.marquardt@alston.com

Charles H. Morgan 404.881.7187 charlie.morgan@alston.com

Glenn G. Patton 404.881.7785 glenn.patton@alston.com

Anna Saraie 404.881.4483 anna.saraie@alston.com

Eileen M. Scofield 404.881.7375 eileen.scofield@alston.com

Katherine H. Smith 404.881.4575 katherine.smith@alston.com Brooks Suttle 404.881.7551 brooks.suttle@alston.com

LOS ANGELES

Haven Claytor 213.576.2672 haven.claytor@alston.com

Martha S. Doty 213.576.1145 martha.doty@alston.com

James R. Evans, Jr. 213.576.1146 james.evans@alston.com

Jesse M. Jauregui 213.576.1157 jesse.jauregui@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2017

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center

1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424
404.881.7000
Fax: 404.881.7777
BEUING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing
Suite 21B2
No. 7 Guanghua Road
Chaoyang District
Beijing, 100004 CN
+86 10 8592 7500
BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower
Place du Champ de Mars
B-1050 Brussels, BE
+32 2 550 3700
Fax: +32 2 550 3719
CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon Street
Suite 4000
Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000
704.444.1000
Fax: 704.444.1111
DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street
18th Floor
Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201
214.922.3400
Fax: 214.922.3899
LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street
16th Floor
Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004
213.576.1000
Fax: 213.576.1100
NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue
15th Floor
New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387
212.210.9400
Fax: 212.210.9444
RESEARCH TRIANGLE: 4721 Emperor Blvd.
Suite 400
Durham, North Carolina, USA, 27703-85802
919.862.2200
Fax: 919.862.2260
SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street
Suite 2100
San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912
415.243.1000
Fax: 415.243.1001
SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue
Sth Floor
East Palo Alto, California, USA, 94303-2282
650-838-2000
Fax: 650.838.2001
WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404
202.239.3300
Fax: 202.239.3333