



Federal Tax ADVISORY ■

OCTOBER 2, 2017

Tax Change Planning

You don't need inside information from Congress to suspect that a reduction in the maximum tax rate for C corporations is likely. That could put the individual-to-corporate rate brackets back into the relationship they occupied for decades up to 1986. Tax planners would need to adopt a very different way of thinking about C corporations.

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 turned C corporations into public corporations, almost exclusively. The exceptions have been the unfortunate private corporations that failed to take advantage of the favorable conversion transition option after the 1986 Act. When individual rates exceeded corporate rates, even by 5%, a substantial current tax savings could result from earning income within a C corporation, as contrasted with a pass-through business entity, viewed without regard to any future additional tax on realization by individual shareholders of that value retained in the C corporation.

Higher interest rates sometimes reduced the disadvantages of any later shareholder-level tax because the discounted present value of future taxes is lower when interest rates are higher. In the current economy, however, interest rates are at record lows. Therefore, deferral is not as valuable.

Depending on the size of the corporate rate cut, taxpayers might consider using C corporations in situations not previously desired. For example, it might make sense to consider establishing a C corporation for a new business involving professional services that can enjoy a run up the lower-rate brackets. That was the tax shelter of choice in the 1960s and 1970s when states began to allow doctors to incorporate. In addition to the corporate tax rate, corporations offered better pension plans.

Corporate rate reduction might have an impact on the payment of dividends, and more importantly stock buybacks. The reduced dividend rate did not necessarily accelerate corporate dividends because public companies have long been shifting their dividend into stock buybacks. But a corporate tax rate of 20% could make stock buybacks taxed at 15% look less logical, particularly if the corporation can find productive investments for its cash.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

Corporate rate reduction also might make the use of S corporations, RICs, and REITs somewhat less advantageous. The same could be true of the increasingly common Up-C transaction that allows a corporation to make a partnership investment while retaining the option to swap its partnership interest for traded stock.

In general, any time rates diverge based on differences in types of taxpayers, a lot of tax planning is spurred. High rates have been fingered as the cause of much individual tax planning. Low rates can spur planning to get into the low-rate structure.

For more information, please contact [Jack Cummings](#) at 919.862.2302.

If you would like to receive future *Federal Tax Advisories* electronically, please forward your contact information to FederalTax.Advisory@alston.com. Be sure to put “**subscribe**” in the subject line.

Click [here](#) for Alston & Bird’s Tax Blog.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Federal Tax Group

Sam K. Kaywood, Jr.
Co-Chair
404.881.7481
sam.kaywood@alston.com

Edward Tanenbaum
Co-Chair
212.210.9425
edward.tanenbaum@alston.com

George Abney
404.881.7980
george.abney@alston.com

Brian D. Harvel
404.881.4491
brian.harvel@alston.com

Ashley B. Menser
919.862.2209
ashley.menser@alston.com

John F. Baron
704.444.1434
john.baron@alston.com

L. Andrew Immerman
404.881.7532
andy.immerman@alston.com

Matthew Moseley
202.239.3828
matthew.moseley@alston.com

Henry J. Birnkrant
202.239.3319
henry.birnkrant@alston.com

Stefanie E. Kavanagh
202.239.3914
stefanie.kavanagh@alston.com

Danny Reach
704.444.1272
danny.reach@alston.com

James E. Croker, Jr.
202.239.3309
jim.croker@alston.com

Brian E. Lebowitz
202.239.3394
brian.lebowitz@alston.com

Heather Ripley
212.210.9549
heather.ripley@alston.com

Jasper L. Cummings, Jr.
919.862.2302
jack.cummings@alston.com

Clay A. Littlefield
704.444.1440
clay.littlefield@alston.com

Michael Senger
404.881.4988
michael.senger@alston.com

Scott Harty
404.881.7867
scott.harty@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2017

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777
BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghai Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86 10 8592 7500
BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719
CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111
DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899
LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100
NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444
RESEARCH TRIANGLE: 4721 Emperor Blvd. ■ Suite 400 ■ Durham, North Carolina, USA, 27703-85802 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260
SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001
SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ 5th Floor ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA, 94303-2282 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001
WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333