# **ALSTON & BIRD**

WWW.ALSTON.COM



### Securities Litigation ADVISORY •

**MARCH 20, 2018** 

#### U.S. Supreme Court Rules State Courts Can Hear Certain '33 Act Claims

by Charles Cox and Courtney Quirós

On March 20, 2018, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a much-anticipated decision in <u>Cyan Inc. v. Beaver County Employees Retirement Fund</u>, ruling that, pursuant to the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998 (SLUSA), state courts have concurrent subject-matter jurisdiction to hear certain securities class actions alleging violations of the Securities Act of 1933.

The high court's decision brings finality to a long-standing split among state and federal courts regarding whether states courts have authority over "covered class actions" alleging only 1933 Act claims.

Justice Kagan, writing for the unanimous court, found that amendments made to §77(v)(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 did not give federal courts exclusive jurisdiction over such Securities Act claims; rather, SLUSA allowed state courts to exercise concurrent jurisdiction over securities claims involving 50 or more plaintiffs. The Court explained, "SLUSA did nothing to strip state courts of their longstanding jurisdiction to adjudicate class actions alleging only 1933 Act violations. Neither did SLUSA authorize removing such suits from state to federal court." Ultimately, the Court held that Cyan's "appeals to SLUSA's purposes and legislative history fail to overcome the clear statutory language" and, consequently, state court jurisdiction over 1933 Act claims "continues undisturbed."

The Securities Act of 1933 creates liability in investor suits over securities offerings, including false registration statements (Section 11), false prospectuses (Section 12(a)(2)), and control person liability for violations of Section 11 or Section 12 (Section 15). Thus, the *Cyan* decision is particularly significant for companies conducting IPOs and underwriters, as the state courts have been the preferred venue for IPO-related securities class actions brought by investors given the perception that state courts have more relaxed pleading and discovery rules.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 2

As a result of this decision, we expect the following:

• A potential increase in Section 11 filings in state court, particularly in those jurisdictions where the courts employ a more relaxed pleading standard or there is a vibrant market for public offerings.

- A possible increase in inconsistent determinations between state courts and federal courts interpreting federal securities law.
- Further potential exposure for third parties and advisors who are often added to these types of cases.
- Reaction by the D&O insurers for these sorts of claims to the potential exposure, which may result in new coverage terms and issues arising.

You can subscribe to future *Securities Litigation* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our **publications subscription form**.

If you have any questions or would like additional information please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Gidon M. Caine 650.838.2060 gidon.caine@alston.co

Charles W. Cox

gidon.caine@alston.com john.

213.576.1048 charles.cox@alston.com

Mary C. Gill 404.881.7276 mary.gill@alston.com

Susan E. Hurd 404.881.7572 susan.hurd@alston.com

Brett D. Jaffe 212.210.9547 brett.jaffe@alston.com

John A. Jordak, Jr. 404.881.7868 john.jordak@alston.com John L. Latham 404.881.7915

john.latham@alston.com

Robert R. Long 404.881.4760

robert.long@alston.com

Cara M. Peterman 404.881.7176

cara.peterman@alston.com

Theodore J. Sawicki 404.881.7639 tod.sawicki@alston.com

## ALSTON & BIRD

#### WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2018

```
ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777

BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86 10 8592 7500

BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719

CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111

DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899

LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100

NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444

RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260

SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001

SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ 5th Floor ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333
```