



## Federal Tax ADVISORY ■

**OCTOBER 1, 2018**

### Antique Weapons

From time to time, the IRS hauls out antique weapons to attack transactions it considers abusive for which no other remedy is available. The oldest weapon of all is Section 269. Because it addresses solely corporate transactions, and at least half of all “tax shelters” use corporations (the other half use partnerships), it always looks threatening.

But it has not proved threatening in recent years. It’s been a long time since the IRS has succeeded in beating a tough tax shelter with Section 269. The problem is the second and third parts of its three-part test.

The first part is easy: either someone acquires control of a corporation or a corporation acquires property in a carryover-basis transaction from an unrelated person. The related-person limitation knocks out a lot of potential applications. But taxpayers often overlook the fact that if they create a new corporation (including check the box) to do a deal, that constitutes acquiring control.

Assuming the first part is satisfied, the IRS then has to show that the acquisition was for the principal purpose of evasion or avoidance of federal income tax by securing the benefit of a deduction, credit, or other allowance that such person or corporation would not otherwise enjoy.

We can expect a big argument over “principal purpose,” but also the taxpayers usually defend against this tactic by claiming that the disputed transaction was not the only route to the tax benefit.

There are several old, convoluted court opinions rejecting the application of Section 269 on the “would not otherwise enjoy” grounds, or on principal purpose. Back in the days of the tax shelters of the late 20th century, top-tier tax lawyers wrote million-dollar opinions citing those cases in the taxpayers’ favor.

Unless and until some court takes a more practical approach to Section 269, it will continue to be much less useful to the IRS than the economic substance doctrine has been, even though that doctrine was made up of whole cloth, whereas Congress enacted Section 269 to deal with a large swath of the abuses the doctrine has been used on.

Taxpayers facing Section 269 on audit should treat it seriously, but also should know that it means the IRS is playing with a weak hand.

For more information, please contact [Jack Cummings](#) at 919.862.2302.

Join our tax attorneys at a reception being held at the Capital City Club in Atlanta on October 4 alongside the ABA 2018 Joint Fall CLE Meeting. Register [here](#).

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

You can subscribe to future *Federal Tax* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our [publications subscription form](#).

Click [here](#) for Alston & Bird's Tax Blog.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

## Federal Tax Group

Sam K. Kaywood, Jr.  
Co-Chair  
404.881.7481  
sam.kaywood@alston.com

Edward Tanenbaum  
Co-Chair  
212.210.9425  
edward.tanenbaum@alston.com

George Abney  
404.881.7980  
george.abney@alston.com

Scott Harty  
404.881.7867  
scott.harty@alston.com

Clay A. Littlefield  
704.444.1440  
clay.littlefield@alston.com

John F. Baron  
704.444.1434  
john.baron@alston.com

Brian D. Harvel  
404.881.4491  
brian.harvel@alston.com

Ashley B. Menser  
919.862.2209  
ashley.menser@alston.com

Henry J. Birnkrant  
202.239.3319  
henry.birnkrant@alston.com

L. Andrew Immerman  
404.881.7532  
andy.immerman@alston.com

Danny Reach  
704.444.1272  
danny.reach@alston.com

Seth M. Buchwald  
404.881.7836  
seth.buchwald@alston.com

Stefanie E. Kavanagh  
202.239.3914  
stefanie.kavanagh@alston.com

Heather Ripley  
212.210.9549  
heather.ripley@alston.com

James E. Croker, Jr.  
202.239.3309  
jim.croker@alston.com

Ryan J. Kelly  
202.239.3306  
ryan.kelly@alston.com

Michael Senger  
404.881.4988  
michael.senger@alston.com

Jasper L. Cummings, Jr.  
919.862.2302  
jack.cummings@alston.com

Brian E. Lebowitz  
202.239.3394  
brian.lebowitz@alston.com

# ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2018

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777  
 BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86 10 8592 7500  
 BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719  
 CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111  
 DALLAS: 2828 North Harwood Street ■ 18th Floor ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899  
 LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100  
 NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444  
 RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260  
 SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001  
 SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ 5th Floor ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA, 94303-2282 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001  
 WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333