



## State & Local Tax Advisory ■

**DECEMBER 4, 2018**

### **State Guidance Needed After Treasury Issues Proposed Regulations Under Section 163(j)**

On November 26, the Department of the Treasury issued proposed regulations concerning the new interest expense limitation under Section 163(j) that was enacted last year through the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. While the regulations address many issues related to how the limitation applies in computing federal income tax, questions remain on how to apply the new Section 163(j) for state tax purposes.

Currently, about half the states follow the new Section 163(j), either through rolling conformity or by adopting a post-Act conformity date. Several other states, including Georgia, have enacted legislation that specifically decouples from the federal limits under Section 163(j).

Section 163(j) limits business interest expense deductions to the taxpayer's business interest income, plus 30% of its adjusted taxable income, and its floor plan financing interest. One big question that was answered through the proposed regulations is that, for commonly owned corporations that file a consolidated federal income tax return, the interest expense limitation is determined on a consolidated basis and allocated to individual group members according to the percentage of consolidated interest allowed and applying it to each individual member's business interest expense.

Applying Section 163(j) on a consolidated basis at the federal level creates significant complexities for computing allowable interest expense at the state level. For example, in states that require commonly owned corporations to report income on a separate-company basis, the allowable business interest expense for individual entities of the federal consolidated group could be reduced, increased, or eliminated. Similarly, based on the mechanics of computing the limitation on a separate-entity basis, the aggregate allowable interest expense of all entities that are included in the federal consolidated return could be significantly less than the amount available at the federal level.

In addition, because the allowable interest expense deduction is determined on a consolidated basis at the federal level, it generally will not matter which specific entity in the consolidated group had interest expense or income. However, in states that require separate company reporting, there is no mechanism for related entities to share interest expense, and thus there is risk that interest expense may never be utilized

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

depending on which entities of the affiliated group generate the interest income and expense. Similarly, separate reporting states that both conform to the new Section 163(j) limitation and that disallow an expense deduction for interest paid to affiliates (i.e., an “interest addback”) will need to address the potential for double taxation. For example, if the interest income is subject to tax in the hands of the affiliate-payee, then the payor would typically not be required to add back the expense deduction in computing its separate company income. However, to the extent that the interest expense is limited at the federal level and the state conforms to the new Section 163(j), then the payor and payee could both pay tax on the same value.

Computational issues will likely also arise in states that require or permit commonly owned corporations to report income on a combined or consolidated basis. Based on state law and constitutional restrictions, the composition of the state combined reporting group may vary significantly from the composition of the federal consolidated group and will often vary even among states that have similar combined return reporting requirements. Moreover, even where the composition of the state and federal consolidated group is the same, the computation of combined group income at the state level may be determined on a separate-entity basis and/or may not conform, or only partially conform, to the federal consolidated return regulations. Taxpayers will need to independently consider each state’s rules for computing the allowable interest expense.

Although these issues are sure to create state tax compliance headaches, they are only a small sample of the potential complexities flowing from the new federal interest expense limitation under Section 163(j) and the 439 pages of the proposed regulation package released by Treasury. We will monitor developments on the proposed regulations and the states’ reactions to them and provide additional commentary throughout the process.

You can subscribe to future *State & Local Tax* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our [publications subscription form](#).

Click [here](#) for Alston & Bird's Tax Blog.

Stay engaged with the aftereffects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act with our new [resource page](#).

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Mary T. Benton  
404.881.7255  
mary.benton@alston.com

Kendall L. Houghton  
202.239.3673  
kendall.houghton@alston.com

Clark R. Calhoun  
404.881.7553  
clark.calhoun@alston.com

Richard C. Kariss  
212.210.9452  
richard.kariss@alston.com

John L. Coalson, Jr.  
404.881.7482  
john.coalson@alston.com

Ethan D. Millar  
213.576.1025  
ethan.millar@alston.com

Kathleen Cornett  
404.881.4445  
kathleen.cornett@alston.com

Michael T. Petrik  
404.881.7479  
mike.petrik@alston.com

Michael M. Giovannini  
404.881.7957  
michael.giovannini@alston.com

Charles D. Wakefield  
212.210.1281  
charles.wakefield@alston.com

Zachry T. Gladney  
212.210.9423  
zach.gladney@alston.com

Andrew W. Yates  
404.881.7677  
andy.yates@alston.com

Matthew P. Hedstrom  
212.210.9533  
matt.hedstrom@alston.com

# ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2018

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777  
BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86 10 8592 7500  
BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719  
CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111  
DALLAS: Chase Tower ■ 2200 Ross Ave. ■ Suite 2300 ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899  
LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100  
NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444  
RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260  
SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ 5th Floor ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001  
SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ Suite 2100 ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA, 94303-2282 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001  
WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333