



International Tax ADVISORY ■

NOVEMBER 15, 2019

Is the IRS HODLing* Out on Us? IRS Issues Additional Cryptocurrency Guidance Addressing Hard Forks, Soft Forks, and Airdrops

The cryptocurrency landscape has changed considerably since the mysterious introduction of bitcoin in 2008. Today, the magic Internet money can be used to order pizza, pay employees, day trade, and serve as collateral for loans.

The IRS has been notably slow to react. It has issued very limited guidance so far on how it intends to treat cryptocurrency transactions, but even in the absence of such guidance, it has recently begun ramping up its cryptocurrency transaction enforcement efforts. In 2014, the IRS issued [Notice 2014-21](#), confirming its view that “convertible virtual currencies” are treated as property and thus subject to general tax principles that apply to transactions in property (and not to certain special rules that apply to transactions in currencies). In 2018, the IRS included noncompliance with tax laws relating to the use of cryptocurrencies as one of its new compliance campaigns. ([See prior coverage here.](#))

The IRS recently issued new guidance in [Rev. Rul. 2019-24](#) and an updated set of [frequently asked questions](#) addressing the tax treatment of cryptocurrencies that undergo a hard fork and the treatment of a subsequent airdrop.

Background

Cryptocurrencies use distributed ledger, or “blockchain,” technology to verify and secure transactions between users. Each transaction is recorded in a block of transactions, which are linked to all prior and subsequent blocks on the blockchain. A blockchain runs on a specific protocol that dictates its rules. Certain protocol changes can cause “soft forks” and “hard forks” of the blockchain.

A “soft fork” occurs when a protocol change is made to update a feature of the blockchain and does not result in a valid parallel blockchain. A soft fork does not create a new cryptocurrency since the blockchain on the old protocol becomes invalid and does not persist. On the other hand, a “hard fork” occurs when a protocol change is made that *does* result in the creation of a valid parallel blockchain. Sometimes a hard fork will simply update a feature of the

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

blockchain and the parallel blocks created after the fork will no longer be followed as all users update to the new protocol. Other times, the parallel blockchains will persist and a new “forked cryptocurrency” is created. In this case, each owner of the legacy cryptocurrency should be able to claim the forked cryptocurrency in an amount equal to his or her holdings in the legacy cryptocurrency.

A “wallet” is where cryptocurrency is stored. It can be in the form of either software or hardware. Wallets have both public keys and private keys. To send cryptocurrency to a specific wallet, a user must have the wallet’s public keys. To move money out of a wallet, a user must have the wallet’s private keys. To claim a forked cryptocurrency, the owner of the legacy cryptocurrency must configure a compatible wallet with the private keys of the wallet that held the legacy cryptocurrency at the time the blockchain underwent the hard fork. Cryptocurrencies are, essentially, bearer instruments like cash; instead of holding bills and coins, owners of cryptocurrencies maintain exclusive possession of the private keys. Many are of the view that if you hold cryptocurrency on an exchange that does not provide you with the private keys to the wallet, you do not actually “own” the cryptocurrency. The popular mantra “not your keys, not your crypto” was coined to reflect this view.

An “airdrop” occurs when a cryptocurrency is deposited, for free, into a compatible wallet in an effort to bring awareness to a new cryptocurrency, increase its ownership, and jumpstart its circulation. Generally, airdrops are employed when a cryptocurrency is created by means other than a hard fork. This is because a hard fork itself is a way to market and distribute new coins. A cryptocurrency created in a hard fork *could* be part of an airdrop, but that would be separate from the new cryptocurrency received as a result of the hard fork.

Individuals can sign up for airdrops without ever holding another cryptocurrency. However, some cryptocurrencies are airdropped to existing compatible wallets (such as those that hold cryptocurrency on the Ethereum blockchain) without the wallet owner’s solicitation. Likewise, an individual may purchase cryptocurrency in anticipation of a hard fork as a means to acquire the forked cryptocurrency. But all owners of a cryptocurrency on a blockchain that undergoes a hard fork should be able to claim the forked cryptocurrency, even if they did not actually want the forked cryptocurrency or were unaware that the hard fork occurred.

New guidance

The Revenue Ruling focuses on hard forks and describes two scenarios. The first scenario provides that the owner of a legacy cryptocurrency that undergoes a hard fork that creates a new cryptocurrency, but who does not receive any of the forked cryptocurrency, will not have taxable income. The second scenario provides that the owner of a legacy cryptocurrency who receives (by airdrop) a forked cryptocurrency following a hard fork that creates a new cryptocurrency will have taxable ordinary income equal to the fair market value of the new cryptocurrency when the airdrop is recorded. For purposes of the Ruling, a taxpayer “receives” the cryptocurrency from a hard fork when he or she can exercise dominion and control over the cryptocurrency, meaning he or she can transfer, sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of the cryptocurrency.

Because a hard fork that creates a new cryptocurrency necessarily results in all owners of the legacy cryptocurrency receiving the forked cryptocurrency, the first scenario appears to be implausible. However, the Ruling indicates that this scenario may be directed toward individuals who own cryptocurrency through an exchange. Often individuals who hold their cryptocurrency in an exchange account do not hold the private keys to the wallet where their crypto is stored. Following the “not your keys, not your crypto” mantra, it is actually the exchange that holds the wallet’s private keys and can access the forked cryptocurrency, not the “owner.” The Ruling clarifies that in this case, the owner will not be in receipt of the forked cryptocurrency until the exchange transfers the forked cryptocurrency so that the owner has dominion and control over it.

Questions remain about the tax treatment of airdrops generally because the Ruling only addresses airdrops that follow a hard fork. Recent public statements by IRS officials indicate that the Ruling does not apply to “marketing” airdrops, raising further questions about what exactly the IRS considers an “airdrop.”

In line with the treatment of cryptocurrency in the Ruling, the FAQs state that because a soft fork does not result in the receipt of new cryptocurrency, a soft fork does not create taxable income. The FAQs also explain that a taxpayer’s basis in forked cryptocurrency is equal to the amount included in taxable income. Methods for determining a cryptocurrency’s fair market value are also provided for on- and off-exchange transactions.

The IRS allows taxpayers to specifically identify cryptocurrency blocks for disposition, and if no specific identification is made, units are deemed to be sold on a first in, first out (FIFO) basis. As a practical matter, FIFO may be difficult for many taxpayers to effectively track—we are discussing *cryptocurrency*, after all. It would be helpful if other methods were permitted, such as a last in, first out (LIFO) or average basis.

Recent public statements from Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) officials also announced that cryptocurrency held on foreign exchanges are not subject to FBAR reporting, but it remains unclear whether such cryptocurrency must be reported on a taxpayer’s IRS Form 8938, “Statement of Specified Foreign Financial Assets.”

Those who have given up hope of their cryptocurrency of choice going “to the moon” might take solace (if any is to be had) in recognizing that the IRS is focused on providing additional, much-needed guidance in this area.

For more information, please contact [Blake Estes](#) at 212.210.9415, [Danny Reach](#) at 704.444.1272, or [April McLeod](#) at 212.210.9487.

**HODL is slang used in the cryptocurrency community to refer to holding, as opposed to selling, units of cryptocurrency.*

You can subscribe to future *International Tax* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our [publications subscription form](#).

Click [here](#) for Alston & Bird's Tax Blog.

Stay engaged with the aftereffects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act with our new [resource page](#).

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

International Tax Group

John F. Baron
Chair
704.444.1434
john.baron@alston.com

George B. Abney
404.881.7980
george.abney@alston.com

Jasper L. Cummings, Jr.
919.862.2302
jack.cummings@alston.com

Sam K. Kaywood, Jr.
404.881.7481
sam.kaywood@alston.com

Daniel M. Reach
704.444.1272
danny.reach@alston.com

John F. Baron
704.444.1434
john.baron@alston.com

Scott Harty
404.881.7867
scott.harty@alston.com

Brian E. Lebowitz
202.239.3394
brian.lebowitz@alston.com

Heather Ripley
212.210.9549
heather.ripley@alston.com

Henry J. Birnkrant
202.239.3319
henry.birnkrant@alston.com

Brian D. Harvel
404.881.4491
brian.harvel@alston.com

Clay A. Littlefield
704.444.1440
clay.littlefield@alston.com

Michael Senger
404.881.4988
michael.senger@alston.com

Seth M. Buchwald
404.881.7836
seth.buchwald@alston.com

L. Andrew Immerman
404.881.7532
andy.immerman@alston.com

April McLeod
212.210.9487
april.mcleod@alston.com

Richard L. Slowinski
202.239.3231
richard.slowinski@alston.com

James E. Croker, Jr.
202.239.3309
jim.croker@alston.com

Stefanie Kavanagh
202.239.3914
stefanie.kavanagh@alston.com

Ashley B. Menser
919.862.2209
ashley.menser@alston.com

Edward Tanenbaum
212.210.9425
edward.tanenbaum@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2019

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777
BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghai Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86.10.85927500
BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719
CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111
DALLAS: Chase Tower ■ 2200 Ross Avenue ■ Suite 2300 ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899
LONDON: 5th Floor ■ Octagon Point, St. Paul's ■ 5 Cheapside ■ London, EC2V 6AA, UK ■ +44.0.20.3823.2225
LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100
NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444
RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260
SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001
SILICON VALLEY: 950 Page Mill Road ■ Palo Alto, California, USA 94304-1012 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001
WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333