ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM



Health Care Litigation and White Collar, Government & Internal Investigations
ADVISORY •

DECEMBER 3, 2019

New Developments Further Limit Use of Agency Guidance in Medicare Enforcement Actions

By Brad Smyer and Matthew Dowell

A new internal <u>memorandum</u> from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) discourages the agency from basing enforcement actions on guidance documents that are "not closely tied to statutory or regulatory standards." This directive has potentially far-reaching effects for health care providers and suppliers because of the prevalence of Medicare local coverage determinations (LCDs) and other "sub-regulatory" government guidance documents and manuals that establish health care reimbursement rules.

The new HHS memo builds on two years of efforts by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) to limit the reach of sub-regulatory agency guidance. In 2017, former U.S. Attorney General Jeff Sessions issued a <u>memorandum</u> preventing the DOJ from issuing "guidance documents that purport to create rights or obligations binding on persons outside the Executive Branch." In 2018, the <u>Brand Memorandum</u> expanded on this theme and prohibited civil enforcement actions based on "noncompliance with guidance documents." The Brand Memorandum has been incorporated into the <u>Justice Manual</u>, the guidebook for DOJ attorneys.

The HHS memo comes in response to the U.S. Supreme Court's recent disapproval of HHS's attempt to change Medicare reimbursement by posting revisions online. (See *Azar v. Allina Health Servs.*, ____U.S.____ (2019), 139 S. Ct. 1804, 1808, 204 L. Ed. 2d 139, 144.) The Court based its decision on the Medicare Act's requirement that a "substantive legal standard" be promulgated through formal rulemaking. The Court did not define "substantive legal standard," but affirmed a lower court ruling that held that the term "at a minimum includes a standard that creates, defines, and regulates the rights, duties, and powers of parties."

Earlier this month, a federal court in Pennsylvania relied on the Court's reasoning in *Allina* to dismiss a whistleblower's claims under the False Claims Act. (See *Polansky v. Exec. Health Res., Inc.* No. 12-CV-4239, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 192332, at *4 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 5, 2019).) The whistleblower alleged that the defendant did not follow Medicare criteria when admitting inpatients. The Pennsylvania court granted summary judgment on the claims because the relevant inpatient standards were "contained in agency manuals that had not been promulgated pursuant to notice and comment, as required by the Medicare Act." The court reasoned that the inpatient criteria was a "substantive legal standard" because it "creates, defines, and regulates the rights, duties, and powers of parties."

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 2

In the aftermath of *Allina*, the new HHS memo recognizes that *Allina* forecloses enforcement actions based solely on guidance documents that create or alter "substantive legal standards." The agency distinguishes between guidance documents that "are not closely tied to statutory or regulatory standards"—which cannot form the basis of an enforcement action—and those that merely "provide additional clarity without creating a new non-statutory or non-regulatory norm." The memo leaves open the question of what it means to be "closely tied." A likely interpretation is that the guidance cannot add new substantive requirements. Even then, HHS recognizes that "a guidance document issued consistent with *Allina* may not be used as the sole basis for an enforcement action, although it may be relevant for other purposes, such as scienter or materiality." Importantly, the HHS memo specifically states that "government enforcement actions based solely on LCDs are generally unsupportable."

Going forward, providers and suppliers should consider how best to use *Allina*, the new HHS memo, and related DOJ policy to limit enforcement actions based on requirements found only in LCDs, reimbursement manuals from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or other sub-regulatory guidance. Providers and suppliers should also consider whether they agreed by contract to comply with these sub-regulatory requirements.

This new guidance impacts not only enforcement by putative whistleblowers and the DOJ, but also administrative actions by HHS and its components like the Office of Inspector General and the Office of Civil Rights. It could also lead to a significant increase in rulemaking activity by the agency.

You can subscribe to future Government Investigations and Health Care Litigation advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our publications subscription form.

If you have any questions or would like additional information please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Adam Biegel 202.239.3692 adam.biegel@alston.com

Matthew L.J.D. Dowell 404.881.7434 matt.dowell@alston.com

Cathy Burgess 202.239.3648 cathy.burgess@alston.com

Angie Burnette 404.881.7665 angie.burnette@alston.com

Mark Calloway 704.444.1089 mark.calloway@alston.com

Brian D. Boone 704,444,1106 brian.boone@alston.com

Sarah Ernst 404.881.4940 sarah.ernst@alston.com

Brian Frey 202.239.3067 brian.frey@alston.com

Larry Gage 202.239.3614 larry.gage@alston.com

Joyce Gresko 202.239.3628 joyce.gresko@alston.com

Elinor Hiller 202.239.3766 elinor.hiller@alston.com **Russ Hilton** 404.881.7866 russell.hilton@alston.com

Michael Hoernlein 704.444.1041 michael.hoernlein@alston.com

Brett Jaffe 212.210.9547 brett.jaffe@alston.com

Dan Jarcho 202.239.3254 daniel.jarcho@alston.com

William Jordan 404.881.7850 202.756.3494 bill.jordan@alston.com

Edward Kang 202.239.3728 edward.kang@alston.com

Meredith Jones Kingsley 404.881.4793 meredith.kingsley@alston.com

Jenny Kramer 212.210.9420 jenny.kramer@alston.com

Jane Lucas 202.239.3229 jane.lucas@alston.com

Dawnmarie Matlock 404.881.4253

dawnmarie.matlock@alston.com **Emily McGowan**

704.444.1027 emily.mcgowan@alston.com Wade Pearson Miller 404.881.4971 wade.miller@alston.com

Paul Monnin 404.881.7394 paul.monnin@alston.com

Michael Park 202.239.3630 michael.park@alston.com

Kimberly Peretti 202.239.3720 kimberly.peretti@alston.com

Jason Popp 404.881.4753 jason.popp@alston.com

T.C. Spencer Pryor 404.881.7978 spence.pryor@alston.com

J. Mark Ray 404.881.7739 mark.ray@alston.com

Mark Rayder 202.239.3562 mark.rayder@alston.com

Samuel Rutherford 404.881.4454 sam.rutherford@alston.com

Marc Scheineson 202.239.3465 marc.scheineson@alston.com

Frank Sheeder 214.922.3420

Bob Siggins 202.239.3836 bob.siggins@alston.com

Bradley M. Smyer 214.922.3459 brad.smyer@alston.com

John Snyder 202.239.3960 john.snyder@alston.com

Rob Stone 404.881.7270 rob.stone@alston.com

Tim Trysla 202.239.3420 tim.trysla@alston.com

Thomas Walker 704.444.1248 919.862.2212 thomas.walker@alston.com

Esther Yu 404.881.4401

esther.yu@alston.com

frank.sheeder@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW ALSTON COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2019

```
ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center • 1201 West Peachtree Street • Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 • 404.881.7000 • Fax: 404.881.7777
BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing = Suite 21B2 = No. 7 Guanghua Road = Chaoyang District = Beijing, 100004 CN = +86 10 8592 7500
BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719
CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza 101 South Tryon Street Suite 4000 Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 704.444,1000 Fax: 704.444,1111
DALLAS: Chase Tower • 2200 Ross Avenue • Suite 2300 • Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 • 214.922.3400 • Fax: 214.922.3899
LONDON: 5th Floor, Octagon Point, St. Paul's • 5 Cheapside • London, EC2V 6AA, UK • +44.0.20.3823.2225
LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street • 16th Floor • Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 • 213.576.1000 • Fax: 213.576.1100
NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444
RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street Suite 600 Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 919.862.2200 Fax: 919.862.2260
SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001
SILICON VALLEY: 950 Page Mill Road ■ Palo Alto, California, USA 94304-1012 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001
WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333
```