ALSTON & BIRD







WWW.ALSTON.COM

Financial Restructuring & Reorganization ADVISORY •

JANUARY 7, 2020

Bankruptcy Court Finds Federal Judgment Rate Applies to Postpetition Interest

by David Wender and Geoffrey C. Williams

On December 30, 2019, U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Dennis Montali of the Northern District of California resolved a significant dispute between PG&E and its bondholders, holding that the federal judgment rate controlled over the postpetition interest at the default rate called for under their contracts.

Case Background

The dispute arose in PG&E's bankruptcy case when PG&E proposed a "solvent" plan pursuant to which four classes of unsecured creditors (including priority non-tax claims, prepetition unsecured funded debt claims, and prepetition general unsecured claims (other than wildfire-related claims)) would be unimpaired and would receive payment "in full" by receiving payment for their claims in cash, plus interest accrued from the commencement of the Chapter 11 cases at the federal interest rate.¹

In response to PG&E's proposed treatment, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders, Ad Hoc Committee of Holders of Trade Claims, and others contended that the applicable interest rate should be determined by otherwise applicable nonbankruptcy law and, thus, would result in their claims bearing interest at either their contractually determined rates (if their contracts contained an applicable interest rate) or the rate determined under state law.

The court's ruling would have a significant impact on PG&E's plan/exit from bankruptcy because if the parties' contractual interest rate applied, PG&E would have been obligated to pay its senior noteholders approximately \$500 million in additional cash to render the notes unimpaired.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

The federal judgment rate is calculated under 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a) as "a rate equal to the weekly average 1-year constant maturity Treasury yield, as published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, for the calendar week preceding the date of the judgment." For purposes of postpetition interest on an allowed unsecured claim, "the date of the judgment" is the bankruptcy petition date. Of note, the federal judgment rate is currently 2.59 percent.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 2

Applying Federal Interest Rate Does Not Impair Creditors

Under U.S. bankruptcy law, impaired creditors have the right to vote on a debtor's plan of reorganization. However, if the plan leaves creditors "unimpaired," those creditors are deemed to have accepted the plan. To the contrary, if impaired, creditors would have the ability to "reject" the plan. Thus, a finding of impairment would allow unsecured creditors the power to potentially block confirmation of the proposed restructuring plan.

Recent circuit court decisions have held that impairment is defined by the plain language of Section 1124(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. Specifically, a creditor is impaired only if *the plan itself* alters a claimant's legal, equitable, or contractual rights.

Treating the Ninth Circuit's milestone decision in *In re Cardelucci* as dispositive and "unequivocal," the bankruptcy court found that the application of the federal interest rate does not impair the unsecured creditor's claims. Thus, PG&E's proposed treatment in its plan—to pay unsecured creditors interest at the federal judgment rate—did not "impair" those creditors "under the plan." Notably, the court emphasized that under *Cardelucci*, the federal interest rate would apply uniformly to both impaired and unimpaired claims in a surplus estate.

While it is unclear whether the decision in *PG&E* will affect decisions in other districts, since bankruptcy courts in other jurisdictions have held that unsecured creditors should, presumptively, be entitled to postpetition interest provided in the creditor's respective contracts, the issue will continue to be debated in bankruptcy cases. Nonetheless, the *PG&E* decision reflects a broader trend of courts distinguishing between impairment resulting from a plan of reorganization as opposed to enforcement of the statutory rights of a claimant under the Bankruptcy Code and a trend of courts seeking to limit lender recoveries.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 3

You can subscribe to future *Financial Restructuring & Reorganization* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our <u>publications subscription form</u>.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Gerard S. Catalanello 212.210.9509 gerard.catalanello@alston.com

Dennis J. Connolly 404.881.7269 212.905.9154 dennis.connolly@alston.com

Jonathan T. Edwards 404.881.4985 212.905.9126 jonathan.edwards@alston.com

William Hao 212.210.9417 william.hao@alston.com

Leib M. Lerner 213.576.1193 leib.lerner@alston.com

Diane C. Stanfield 213.576.1039 diane.stanfield@alston.com William S. Sugden 404.881.4778 will.sugden@alston.com

James J. Vincequerra 212.210.9503 james.vincequerra@alston.com

John W. Weiss 212.210.9412 john.weiss@alston.com

David A. Wender 404.881.7354 david.wender@alston.com

Geoffrey C. Williams 212.210.9414 geoffrey.williams@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2020

```
ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777

BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86 10 8592 7500

BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719

CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111

DALLAS: Chase Tower ■ 2200 Ross Avenue ■ Suite 2300 ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899

LONDON: 5th Floor, Octagon Point, St. Paul's ■ 5 Cheapside ■ London, EC2V 6AA, UK ■ +44.0.20.3823.2225

LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100

NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444

RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260

SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001

SILICON VALLEY: 950 Page Mill Road ■ Palo Alto, California, USA, 94304 ■ 650-838-2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001

WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333
```