



## Privacy & Data Security ADVISORY ■

**DECEMBER 9, 2020**

### Brexit and Data Protection: What You Need to Know

by *Wim Nauwelaerts* and *Paul Greaves*

On December 31, 2020, the Brexit Transition Period is scheduled to come to an end, completing the protracted divorce between the UK and European Union. The EU General Data Protection Regulation (EU GDPR) will be brought into UK law as the 'UK GDPR' as part of a new body of 'Retained EU Law'. Although the UK GDPR will mirror the EU GDPR in many respects, there are key action points that companies may need to take to ensure continued compliance:

- Consider how EU-UK personal data transfers can continue in compliance with both EU and UK data protection law.
- Consider how personal data transfers from the UK to controllers and processors outside the EU (e.g., in the U.S.) can be legitimized.
- Review processing activities to continue to take advantage of the EU GDPR's 'one-stop-shop' mechanism (if available in the first place).
- Consider whether the company needs to appoint local representatives for EU and/or UK GDPR purposes.
- Assess how other compliance documentation and data protection provisions in agreements may be affected.
- Keep an eye on how the UK GDPR and EU GDPR may develop—and possibly diverge—over time.

#### **Background**

The UK officially left the EU on January 31, 2020. Since then, the UK has been in the 'Brexit Transition Period,' designed to create a period of stability to enable the UK and EU to negotiate a new post-Brexit relationship. During the Brexit Transition Period:

- EU law (such as the EU GDPR) largely continues to apply in the UK.
- The UK is still considered for most purposes to be an EU Member State—meaning that the free flow of personal data continues between the UK and EU. The EU GDPR's restrictions on international transfers of personal data do not kick in for such transfers.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

- The UK no longer takes part in EU decision-making. For example, the UK's supervisory authority (UK ICO) is no longer a full member of the European Data Protection Board.

During the Brexit Transition Period, companies have therefore largely been able to continue as normal—at least from a data protection perspective. However, things are about to change: the Brexit Transition Period is scheduled to end on December 31, 2020. After that date, the UK will be seen by the EU to be a 'third country', and EU law will no longer apply to the UK. In other words, the protracted divorce between the UK and EU will be complete.

The UK has, however, made some arrangements for the end of the Brexit Transition Period. The default position is that the UK will create a body of 'Retained EU Law', which takes certain EU legislation as it was at the end of the Brexit Transition Period and transposes it directly into UK law. As part of the Retained EU Law, the EU GDPR will be brought into UK law as the UK GDPR, which will be—at least initially—a mirror image of the EU GDPR (albeit with some tweaks so that it makes sense in a UK-only context). The UK Data Protection Act 2018 will continue to apply, supplementing the UK GDPR.

Companies with dealings in the UK and EU will therefore need to consider to what extent the UK GDPR and EU GDPR apply to them and what the impact will be once the UK is no longer considered an EU Member State for data protection purposes.

## Potential Challenges for Businesses

Companies doing business in both the UK and EU face a wide variety of challenges associated with the UK's departure from the EU, but the main data protection action points that companies may need to take to ensure continued compliance are:

### ***Consider how EU-UK personal data transfers can continue in compliance with both EU and UK data protection law***

Under the EU GDPR, transfers of personal data from a controller/processor in the EU to a data recipient in a third country are in principle forbidden, unless the transfer is legitimized by a 'data transfer mechanism' as set out by Chapter V of the EU GDPR. Following the end of the Brexit Transition Period, the UK will be seen as a 'third country', and so companies transferring personal data to the UK will need to identify which data transfer mechanisms may be appropriate for their EU-to-UK transfers.

It is open to the European Commission to make a 'decision of adequacy' for the UK, which would allow for the free flow of personal data from the EU to the UK on the basis that the UK's laws offer adequate protections for personal data. In that case, companies do not need to put in place a data transfer mechanism themselves. However, it is far from guaranteed that the UK will qualify for a decision of adequacy (particularly in light of its national security laws). Even if the UK does qualify, it is not clear when the European Commission will be in a position to grant a decision of adequacy.

Absent a decision of adequacy or an interim solution agreed by the EU and UK governments, companies in the EU will need to identify and implement a suitable data transfer mechanism to legitimize their data transfers as of January 1, 2021. They may need to consider, for example:

- Whether they use the standard contractual clauses (SCCs) approved by the European Commission. Using SCCs also means that the data exporter and importer will need to conduct a transfer impact assessment and possibly implement supplementary safeguards, following the recent [Schrems II judgment](#).
- Whether it makes sense to adopt binding corporate rules (BCRs) for intragroup transfers, or whether existing BCRs need to be amended.
- Whether they can rely on one of the derogations under Article 49 of the EU GDPR.
- Whether they can localize personal data within the EU, obviating the need for a data transfer mechanism.

On the other hand, the UK has made arrangements to treat EU Member States' data protection laws as 'adequate' from a UK perspective after the end of the Brexit Transition Period—meaning that companies can freely transfer personal data to the EU from the UK. However, these arrangements will be adopted on a 'transitional' basis and will be kept under review by the UK government.

### ***Consider how personal data transfers from the UK to controllers and processors outside the EU can be legitimized***

Considering that the UK will create a 'UK GDPR'—initially mirroring the EU GDPR—transfers from the UK to controllers and processors outside the UK (such as in the U.S.) will be in principle forbidden unless legitimized by a data transfer mechanism under the UK GDPR.

The data transfer mechanisms under the UK GDPR will reflect those of the EU GDPR. The UK has already made some preliminary arrangements for these data transfer mechanisms, which attempt to preserve the approaches that companies are already taking. For example:

- The UK will recognize decisions of adequacy that the European Commission has made for third countries (e.g., Switzerland and Israel).
- The existing SCCs approved by the European Commission can continue to be used to legitimize transfers outside the UK.

Again, these arrangements will be adopted on a 'transitional' basis and will be kept under review by the UK government.

### ***Review processing activities to continue to take advantage of the EU GDPR's 'one-stop-shop' mechanism***

One of the novelties of the EU GDPR when it came into force was that companies carrying out cross-border processing across more than one EU Member State may qualify for a mechanism that allows them to deal with only one lead supervisory authority in a single EU Member State, instead of 28 (soon 27) different authorities. The location of the lead supervisory authority depends on the location of the company's 'main establishment' in the EU. This is the so-called one-stop-shop mechanism.

However, following the end of the Brexit Transition Period, the UK ICO can no longer act as a lead supervisory authority. Companies that in the past had identified the UK ICO as their lead authority will need to consider their options. For example, they may need to:

- Consider whether they will continue carrying out cross-border processing activities.
- Identify any new lead supervisory authority in the EU, and potentially make initial contact.
- Review and change their operations to ensure that they continue to have a main establishment in the EU and can continue to take advantage of the one-stop-shop mechanism.
- Understand how the company's risk profile may change if it can be subject to parallel investigations or enforcement actions by the UK ICO and one or more EU supervisory authorities.

### ***Consider whether the company needs to appoint local representatives for EU and UK GDPR purposes***

If a company is not established in the EU but is subject to the EU GDPR under the EU GDPR's extraterritorial application provisions (e.g., a U.S. company targeting consumers in the EU with online products), then the company must typically appoint a representative in the EU for GDPR purposes.

The UK GDPR will mirror this requirement. As a consequence, companies captured by both the UK and EU GDPRs' extraterritorial application provisions after the Brexit Transition Period will in principle need to appoint representatives in both the UK and EU. These representatives will need to meet all the relevant requirements under the UK and EU GDPRs.

### ***Assess how other compliance documentation and data protection provisions in agreements may be affected***

Other compliance documentation, templates, and contractual provisions may need to be updated to reflect the fact that the UK is no longer considered to be part of the EU. For example:

- UK-specific privacy notices may need to be created (or existing privacy notices may need to be updated, for example to address transfers between the UK and EU).
- Data processing agreements, data transfer agreements, and other contractual provisions may need to be amended to reference the correct jurisdictions and to describe the correct data flows.
- The company's Article 30 records of processing activities and its GDPR data protection impact assessments may need to be updated to cover transfers of personal data between the UK and EU.

### **What About Future Developments in UK or EU Data Protection Laws?**

Once the UK has fully separated itself from the EU, the UK government will theoretically be able to develop or change the UK data protection regime, and the UK will not be bound by subsequent judgments from the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU). UK courts may also develop or interpret data protection rules over time. The UK and EU data protection laws may therefore drift apart—increasing the compliance burden for companies operating across the UK and EU.

Following the end of the Brexit Transition Period, new GDPR compliance mechanisms adopted at an EU level (such as modernized SCCs or EU GDPR codes of conduct) may not necessarily be adopted in the UK.

To add a further layer of complexity, the EU-UK Withdrawal Agreement also contains a provision (Article 71(1)) that seeks to preserve the level of protection afforded to data subjects outside the UK where their personal data are:

- Processed under EU law within the UK before the end of the Brexit Transition Period ('legacy non-UK personal data').
- Processed within the UK on the basis of the EU-UK Withdrawal Agreement after the Brexit Transition Period ('Withdrawal Agreement data').

Article 71(1) provides that EU data protection law as it stood just before the end of the Brexit Transition Period (broadly speaking—'due regard' will be given to any subsequent decisions from the CJEU) shall continue to apply in the UK for legacy non-UK personal data and withdrawal agreement data after the end of the Brexit Transition Period. If UK data protection law starts to diverge from the data protection rules that apply under Article 71(1), companies may need to be in a position to distinguish any legacy non-UK personal data and withdrawal agreement data from other personal data. Article 71(1) ceases to apply, however, to the extent that the UK receives an adequacy decision from the European Commission—another reason why an adequacy decision would be welcomed by companies.

In short, companies doing business on both sides of the Channel should keep an eye out for developments to both UK and EU data protection laws and will need to seek out a commercial path for any divergences between the UK GDPR, EU GDPR, and potentially the rules that apply under Article 71(1) of the EU-UK Withdrawal Agreement.

You can subscribe to future *Privacy & Data Security* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our [publications subscription form](#).

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or one of the following:

James A. Harvey  
404.881.7328  
jim.harvey@alston.com

David C. Keating  
404.881.7355  
202.239.3921  
david.keating@alston.com

Kelley Connolly Barnaby  
202.239.3687  
kelley.barnaby@alston.com

Kathleen Benway  
202.239.3034  
kathleen.benway@alston.com

Chris Baugher  
404.881.7261  
chris.baugher@alston.com

Alexander G. Brown  
404.881.7943  
alex.brown@alston.com

Elizabeth Broadway Brown  
404.881.4688  
liz.brown@alston.com

Kristine McAlister Brown  
404.881.7584  
kristy.brown@alston.com

Angela T. Burnette  
404.881.7665  
angie.burnette@alston.com

David Carpenter  
404.881.7881  
david.carpenter@alston.com

Lisa H. Cassilly  
404.881.7945  
212.905.9155  
lisa.cassilly@alston.com

Kimberly K. Chmerinsky  
213.576.1079  
kim.chmerinsky@alston.com

Cari K. Dawson  
404.881.7766  
cari.dawson@alston.com

Maki DePalo  
404.881.4280  
maki.depalo@alston.com

Derin B. Dickerson  
404.881.7454  
derin.dickerson@alston.com

Clare H. Draper IV  
404.881.7191  
clare.draper@alston.com

Christina Hull Eikhoff  
404.881.4496  
christy.eikhoff@alston.com

Sarah Ernst  
404.881.4940  
sarah.ernst@alston.com

Peter K. Floyd  
404.881.4510  
peter.floyd@alston.com

Daniel Gerst  
213.576.2528  
daniel.gerst@alston.com

Jonathan M. Gordon  
213.576.1165  
jonathan.gordon@alston.com

Elizabeth Helmer  
404.881.4724  
elizabeth.helmer@alston.com

John R. Hickman  
404.881.7885  
john.hickman@alston.com

Donald Houser  
404.881.4749  
donald.houser@alston.com

Stephanie A. Jones  
213.576.1136  
stephanie.jones@alston.com

William H. Jordan  
404.881.7850  
202.756.3494  
bill.jordan@alston.com

W. Scott Kitchens  
404.881.4955  
scott.kitchens@alston.com

John L. Latham  
404.881.7915  
john.latham@alston.com

Dawnmarie R. Matlock  
404.881.4253  
dawnmarie.matlock@alston.com

Amy Mushahwar  
202.239.3791  
amy.mushahwar@alston.com

Wim Nauwelaerts  
+32.2.550.3709  
202.239.3709  
wim.nauwelaerts@alston.com

Kimberly Kiefer Peretti  
202.239.3720  
kimberly.peretti@alston.com

Cara M. Peterman  
404.881.7176  
cara.peterman@alston.com

T.C. Spencer Pryor  
404.881.7978  
spence.pryor@alston.com

Karen M. Sanzaro  
202.239.3719  
karen.sanzaro@alston.com

Jessica C. Smith  
213.576.1062  
jessica.smith@alston.com

Lawrence R. Sommerfeld  
404.881.7455  
larry.sommerfeld@alston.com

Peter Swire  
240.994.4142  
peter.swire@alston.com

Katherine M. Wallace  
404.881.4706  
katherine.wallace@alston.com

Richard R. Willis  
+32.2.550.3700  
richard.willis@alston.com

# ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2020

Follow us: On Twitter  @AlstonPrivacy  
On our blog – [www.AlstonPrivacy.com](http://www.AlstonPrivacy.com)

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777

BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86.10.85927500

BRUSSELS: Level 20 Bastion Tower ■ Place du Champ de Mars ■ B-1050 Brussels, BE ■ +32 2 550 3700 ■ Fax: +32 2 550 3719

CHARLOTTE: Bank of America Plaza ■ 101 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 4000 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 28280-4000 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111

DALLAS: Chase Tower ■ 2200 Ross Avenue ■ Suite 2300 ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899

FORT WORTH: 3700 Hulen Street ■ Building 3 ■ Suite 150 ■ Fort Worth, Texas, USA, 76107 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899

LONDON: 5th Floor ■ Octagon Point, St. Paul's ■ 5 Cheapside ■ London, EC2V 6AA, UK ■ +44.0.20.3823.2225

LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100

NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444

RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260

SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001

SILICON VALLEY: 1950 University Avenue ■ Suite 430 ■ East Palo Alto, California, USA 94303 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001

WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333