ALSTON & BIRD







WWW.ALSTON.COM

Antitrust/Labor & Employment ADVISORY •

JANUARY 26, 2021

Government Begins Criminal Prosecutions for "Wage-Fixing" and "No-Poach" Agreements

by Valarie Williams and Tania Rice

The Department of Justice's Antitrust Division (DOJ) recently made good on a 2016 promise to pursue and prosecute criminal actions against companies and individuals for "wage-fixing agreements" (agreements to limit or fix employee wages, salaries, benefits, or other employment terms) and "no-poach agreements" (agreements not to solicit or hire another company's employees). The two indictments—in December 2020 for wage-fixing and in January 2021 for no-poach agreements—are the first-ever criminal prosecutions for wage-related antitrust violations.

In October 2016, the DOJ and Federal Trade Commission (FTC) issued joint guidance affirming that wage-fixing and no-poach agreements may violate antitrust laws, and for the first time threatened to proceed criminally against violators. The guidance distinguished "naked" agreements—those that are "separate from or not reasonably necessary to a larger legitimate collaboration between the employers" and are considered by the agencies to be per se unlawful—from other agreements that may be part of a legitimate joint venture.

Since then, the DOJ <u>has continued to bring civil actions</u> related to wage-fixing and no-poach agreements. It has also continued to warn that criminal investigations and actions were forthcoming (although it promised that it would not pursue agreements that ended before the issuance of the October 2016 guidelines). Warnings mounted <u>during the COVID-19 pandemic</u>, with the DOJ and FTC issuing a <u>joint statement</u> in April 2020 affirming an intent to protect frontline workers and noting that the DOJ "may criminally prosecute companies and individuals who enter into naked wage-fixing and no-poach agreements."

Now, four years after its initial guidance, the DOJ has followed through on these warnings. On December 9, the DOJ obtained its <u>first criminal indictment</u> based on wage-fixing allegations against Neeraj Jindal in the Eastern District of Texas. The DOJ alleged that Jindal, the owner of a therapist staffing company, agreed with other companies to lower the pay rates for physical therapists (PTs) and physical therapist assistants (PTAs). The DOJ alleged that Jindal and his co-conspirators communicated about non-public rates paid to PTs and

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

WWW.ALSTON.COM 2

PTAs, discussed and agreed to decrease rates, and subsequently implemented rate decreases. The indictment quotes a series of text messages that are alleged to evidence this conspiracy; for example, Jindal wrote to other staffing company owners that "I am reaching out to my counterparts about lowering PTA pay rates to \$45" and "I think we all collectively should move together," with other companies responding that they would join.

Less than a month later, on January 5, the DOJ obtained a <u>second indictment</u> in the Northern District of Texas, alleging that Surgical Care Affiliates (SCA), an operator of outpatient medical care centers, verbally agreed with two competitors not to solicit each other's senior-level employees; instructed executives, employees, and recruiters not to solicit competitors' employees; required employment candidates to notify their current employer of their application before it would be considered; alerted competitors about instances of recruitment; and refrained from soliciting competitors' employees.

Following the Jindal indictment, DOJ Assistant Attorney General Makan Delrahim <u>stated</u> that the charges "are an important step in rooting out and deterring employer collusion that cheats American workers—especially health care workers—of free market opportunities and compensation." Following the SCA indictment, Delrahim <u>stated</u> that the "charges demonstrate the Antitrust Division's continued commitment to criminally prosecute collusion in America's labor markets."

The government has yet to get a conviction or guilty plea in these cases, and the defendants will have a chance to present their defense to these allegations, but all signs suggest that the Biden Administration will continue to aggressively pursue wage-fixing and no-poach agreements. The Biden campaign <u>issued a plan</u> that proposed an outright ban on all no-poach agreements. Employers, executives, and human resources professionals should keep in mind:

- Stand-alone agreements with competitors to fix employee compensation or terms or to refrain from hiring or soliciting one another's employees are unlawful and can subject businesses and individuals to criminal prosecution as well as civil actions.
- A wide range of employers may qualify as competitors in labor markets—including companies that provide different products or services.
- Employers and employees should avoid directly sharing information about employees' compensation and terms of employment with competitors. If it is necessary to exchange such information (for example, to assess a potential merger or acquisition), it is advisable to seek legal guidance.
- Certain procompetitive information exchanges and industry initiatives may be legal, but always consult counsel before proceeding.

You can subscribe to future *Antitrust/Labor & Employment* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our **publications subscription form**.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Select Members of Alston & Bird's Antitrust Group

Adam J. Biegel 202.239.3692 adam.biegel@alston.com

Teresa T. Bonder 415.243.1010 teresa.bonder@alston.com

Alexander G. Brown 404.881.7943 alex.brown@alston.com

Elizabeth Broadway Brown 404.881.4688 liz.brown@alston.com

Mark T. Calloway 704.444.1089 mark.calloway@alston.com

Elizabeth Helmer 404.881.4724 elizabeth.helmer@alston.com Michael R. Hoernlein 704.444.1041 michael.hoernlein@alston.com

William H. Jordan 404.881.7850 202.756.3494 bill.jordan@alston.com

Edward T. Kang 202.239.3728

edward.kang@alston.com

Kara F. Kennedy 404.881.4944 kara.kennedy@alston.com

Matthew D. Kent 404.881.7948 matthew.kent@alston.com

Meredith Jones Kingsley 404.881.4793 meredith.kingsley@alston.com Jason A. Levine 202.239.3039 jason.levine@alston.com

Mark A. McCarty 404.881.7861 mark.mccarty@alston.com

Emily McGowan 704.444.1027 emily.mcgowan@alston.com

B. Parker Miller 404.881.4970 parker.miller@alston.com

Stuart Plunkett 415.243.1057 stuart.plunkett@alston.com

Tania Rice 415.243.1064 tania.rice@alston.com John M. Snyder 202.239.3960 john.snyder@alston.com

Andrew J. Tuck

404.881.7134 and y.tuck@alston.com

Thomas G. Walker 704.444.1248 919.862.2212

thomas.walker@alston.com

Valarie C. Williams 415.243.1058 valarie.williams@alston.com

Select Members of Alston & Bird's Labor & Employment Group

Alexandra Garrison Barnett 404.881.7190 alex.barnett@alston.com

Ashley Brightwell 404.881.7767 ashley.brightwell@alston.com

Lisa Cassilly 404.881.7945 lisa.cassilly@alston.com Brett Coburn 404.881.4990

brett.coburn@alston.com

Clare Draper 404.881.7191 clare.draper@alston.com

Steve Ensor 404.881.7448 steve.ensor@alston.com James Evans 213.576.1146 james.evans@alston.com

Jesse Jauregui 213.576.1157 jesse.jauregui@alston.com

Chris Marquardt 404.881.7827

chris.marquardt@alston.com

Charlie Morgan 404.881.7187 charlie.morgan@alston.com

Glenn Patton 404.881.7785 glenn.patton@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2021