



Federal Tax ADVISORY ■

MAY 17, 2023

Tax Distributions

It is very common for private equity investments to use partnerships to hold investments in portfolio companies. Because the investor/partners will owe tax on the partnership's income, the partnerships must make tax distributions to the investors to help cover the income tax liability of the partners so that the partners don't have to pay their taxes out of pocket.

The failure to pay close attention to the tax distribution section of an operating agreement can leave partners having to personally pay their resulting tax liability and even impact the economics of the overall deal.

The terms of the tax distribution provision depend on how the partnership allocates income among the partners. The vast majority of partnerships allocate their taxable income using targeted allocations (i.e., by generally doing a deemed liquidation of the partnership at the end of each year to determine what each partner would receive under the waterfall). When multiple classes of equity are involved, the ordering of the allocations is important. Generally, preferred investors get first allocations of taxable income (for their preferred return, not return of capital), and first losses are allocated to common (common is risking capital first, so they get allocated losses first).

The partnership's ability to make tax distributions is generally limited to available cash and subject to any limitations on distributions in other agreements of the partnership or its subsidiaries (e.g., credit agreements). It's always important to check the credit agreement to make sure any creditor limitation on tax distributions won't interfere with the tax distribution provision being negotiated by the partners in the operating agreement. Tax distributions are typically made at times to enable the partners to timely make their requisite estimated tax payments, and, generally, a single tax rate is assumed to apply to all the partners (even if a partner is a corporation).

The drafting for the amounts of tax distributions presents many choices. There are common inclusions and exclusions, but the manager will have many decisions to make. For example, tax distributions may be determined on a cumulative basis, meaning prior-year losses allocated to partners are taken into consideration when determining if the partners need cash for income in subsequent tax years.

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

Whether or not tax distributions are treated as advances against regular distributions of the return on investment can have an economic impact on the overall deal and becomes a business-level issue. Generally, tax distributions are treated as advances, and any differences in tax distributions made to each partner will ultimately just be timing differences (making the calculation of tax distributions not as important as when the tax distributions are not advances).

However, in some instances, for example, if a partner wants to realize the cash tax benefit of a tax basis step-up or one class of equity has a significant preferred return and is allocated all the operating income of the partnership, a partner may be able to negotiate for the tax distributions to not be treated as advances against the waterfall. Whenever tax distributions are not treated as advances, the partners need to understand how that treatment can potentially impact the economics of the deal. In such situations, the parties should also consider the circular issue of whether the tax distributions would create the need for additional tax distributions.

We recommend parties pay close attention to this language and understand it is certainly not general tax boilerplate language, like some may consider much of the tax allocation provisions to be.

For more information, please contact [Jack Cummings](#) at +1 919 862 2302 or [John Harden](#) at +1 404 881 7990.

You can subscribe to future *Federal Tax* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our [publications subscription form](#).

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Federal Tax Group

John F. Baron
Co-Chair
+1 704 444 1434
john.baron@alston.com

Scott Harty
Co-Chair
+1 404 881 7867
scott.harty@alston.com

George B. Abney
+1 404 881 7980
george.abney@alston.com

Raleigh Johnston
+1 214 922 3415
raleigh.johnston@alston.com

Ashley B. Menser
+1 919 862 2209
ashley.menser@alston.com

Shawna Tunnell
+1 202 239 3040
shawna.tunnell@alston.com

M. Nicole Brown
+1 404 881 7167
nicole.brown@alston.com

Jacob L. Kaplan
+1 404 881 4296
jake.kaplan@alston.com

Daniel M. Reach
+1 704 444 1272
danny.reach@alston.com

Ryan Wheeler
+1 704 444 1430
ryan.wheeler@alston.com

Andrew B. Claytor
+1 704 444 1081
andrew.claytor@alston.com

Stefanie Kavanagh
+1 202 239 3914
stefanie.kavanagh@alston.com

Heather Ripley
+1 212 210 9549
heather.ripley@alston.com

R. Mark Williamson
+1 404 881 7993
mark.williamson@alston.com

James E. Croker, Jr.
+1 202 239 3309
jim.croker@alston.com

Sam K. Kaywood, Jr.
+1 404 881 7481
sam.kaywood@alston.com

Margaret Ward Scott
+1 404 881 7962
margaret.scott@alston.com

Joon Yoo
+1 212 210 9452
joon.yoo@alston.com

Jasper L. Cummings, Jr.
+1 919 862 2302
jack.cummings@alston.com

Clay A. Littlefield
+1 704 444 1440
clay.littlefield@alston.com

Richard L. Slowinski
+1 202 239 3231
richard.slowinski@alston.com

John Harden
+1 404 881 7990
john.harden@alston.com

Sarah Ma
+1 202 239 3281
sarah.ma@alston.com

Carolyn E. Smith
+1 202 239 3566
carolyn.smith@alston.com

Brian D. Harvel
+1 404 881 4491
brian.harvel@alston.com

Terence H. McAllister
+1 704 444 1138
terence.mcallister@alston.com

Edward Tanenbaum
+1 212 210 9425
edward.tanenbaum@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2023

ATLANTA: One Atlantic Center ■ 1201 West Peachtree Street ■ Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 30309-3424 ■ 404.881.7000 ■ Fax: 404.881.7777
BEIJING: Hanwei Plaza West Wing ■ Suite 21B2 ■ No. 7 Guanghua Road ■ Chaoyang District ■ Beijing, 100004 CN ■ +86.10.85927500
BRUSSELS: Rue Guimard 9 et Rue du Commerce 87 ■ 3rd Floor ■ 1000 Brussels ■ Brussels, 1000, BE ■ +32.2.550.3700 ■ Fax: +32.2.550.3719
CHARLOTTE: 1120 South Tryon Street ■ Suite 300 ■ Charlotte, North Carolina, USA 28203-6818 ■ 704.444.1000 ■ Fax: 704.444.1111
DALLAS: Chase Tower ■ 2200 Ross Avenue ■ Suite 2300 ■ Dallas, Texas, USA, 75201 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899
FORT WORTH: Bank of America Tower ■ 301 Commerce ■ Suite 3635 ■ Fort Worth, Texas, USA, 76102 ■ 214.922.3400 ■ Fax: 214.922.3899
LONDON: 4th Floor ■ Octagon Point, St. Paul's ■ 5 Cheapside ■ London, EC2V 6AA, UK ■ +44.0.20.3823.2225
LOS ANGELES: 333 South Hope Street ■ 16th Floor ■ Los Angeles, California, USA, 90071-3004 ■ 213.576.1000 ■ Fax: 213.576.1100
NEW YORK: 90 Park Avenue ■ 15th Floor ■ New York, New York, USA, 10016-1387 ■ 212.210.9400 ■ Fax: 212.210.9444
RALEIGH: 555 Fayetteville Street ■ Suite 600 ■ Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, 27601-3034 ■ 919.862.2200 ■ Fax: 919.862.2260
SAN FRANCISCO: 560 Mission Street ■ Suite 2100 ■ San Francisco, California, USA, 94105-0912 ■ 415.243.1000 ■ Fax: 415.243.1001
SILICON VALLEY: 755 Page Mill Road ■ Building C - Suite 200 ■ Palo Alto, California, USA 94304-1012 ■ 650.838.2000 ■ Fax: 650.838.2001
WASHINGTON, DC: The Atlantic Building ■ 950 F Street, NW ■ Washington, DC, USA, 20004-1404 ■ 202.239.3300 ■ Fax: 202.239.3333