ALSTON & BIRD

Employee Benefits & Executive Compensation ADVISORY -

JULY 28, 2023

Tri-Agencies Issue MHPAEA Proposed Rules with Significant New Compliance Obligations for Group Health Plans and Issuers

On July 25, 2023, the tri-agencies (Internal Revenue Service, Department of Labor, and Department of Health and Human Services) <u>released new proposed regulations</u> under the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) that, if finalized, would provide significant clarifications and new compliance obligations for group health plans and issuers subject to the MHPAEA's provisions. These rules are part of a <u>Biden Administration push</u> to improve access to in-network mental health care. Comments on the proposed rule will be due 60 days after publication in the *Federal Register*.

In addition to the Proposed Rules, the agencies released the <u>second report to Congress</u> on the MHPAEA comparative analysis for nonquantitative treatment limitations. This report for the first time, as required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, names specific plans that were found by the agencies to not be compliant with the comparative analysis requirement.

Background

Under current law, group health plans and health insurance issuers <u>subject to the MHPAEA must comply</u> with detailed compliance obligations for both quantitative treatment limitations (QTLs) and nonquantitative treatment limitations (NQTLs) that impact mental health and substance abuse disorder (MH/SUD) benefits. While guidance for the QTL obligations (such as monetary caps or limitations on the number of days of treatment) has been in place for some time, significant recent agency attention and guidance has addressed NQTL obligations (such as provider contracting, network requirements, and utilization review). The Proposed Rules expand and clarify the NQTL requirements.

When Are the New Requirements Effective?

If finalized as proposed, the new requirements would be generally effective starting the first plan year starting on or after January 1, 2025. However, certain clarifications of existing rules are currently effective.

What Are the Major Proposed Rule Changes for NQTLs?

Upon initial review, notable provisions include the following:

• Application of substantially all/predominant test to NQTLs. The Proposed Rules require that the "substantially all/predominant" test currently applicable to QTLs also apply to NQTLs. This means that for an MH/SUD NQTL to

This advisory is published by Alston & Bird LLP to provide a summary of significant developments to our clients and friends. It is intended to be informational and does not constitute legal advice regarding any specific situation. This material may also be considered attorney advertising under court rules of certain jurisdictions.

be permissible, it must apply to at least two-thirds of the medical benefits in the same classification (i.e., inpatient, in-network; inpatient, out-of-network; outpatient, in-network; outpatient, out-of-network; emergency care; and prescription drugs). In addition, only the predominant (most frequent) variation of the NQTL can apply. This will likely significantly limit the issuer's or plan's ability to apply certain NQTLs, such as clinical utilization review techniques, to MH/SUD benefits.

- Meaningful benefit requirement. Under the Proposed Rules, if a plan provides any benefits for an MH/SUD condition in any classification of benefits, meaningful benefits for that MH/SUD condition must be provided in every classification in which medical/surgical benefits are provided, as determined in comparison to the benefits provided for medical/surgical conditions in the classification.
- Design and application requirement. Under the Proposed Rules, NQTLs are subject to a new "design and application" requirement under which the NQTL analysis will also apply "in designing and applying the limitation."
- Data gathering requirement as part of the NQTL process. There is a specific data collection requirement for network composition that "includes, but is not limited to, in-network and out-of-network utilization rates (including data related to provider claim submissions), network adequacy metrics (including time and distance data, and data on providers accepting new patients), and provider reimbursement rates (including as compared to billed charges)." The <u>Technical Release</u> issued at the same time as the Proposed Rules provides technical details, includes a *request for information* concerning this data gathering, and discusses a possible safe harbor on network composition based on this data gathering.
 - For NQTLs other than network composition, a "material difference" in the metrics/data gathering for the NQTL as applied to MH/SUD and medical/surgical benefits would be a "strong indicator" of a violation, and the Proposed Rule details action that should be taken.
 - As to network composition, the Proposed Rule goes beyond a "strong indicator" and provides that there
 would be an NQTL violation if "the relevant data show material differences in access to in-network mental
 health and substance use disorder benefits as compared to in-network medical/surgical benefits in a
 classification."
- Further clarification on comparative analysis demonstrations. The Proposed Rules contain further detail on the contents of an NQTL comparative analysis and the timing to respond to a request for a comparative analysis from one of the agencies.

We will discuss the new Proposed Rules and mental health parity in depth at our Health & Welfare Benefits Monthly Update webinar on September 7 at 12:30 pm ET. Please <u>click here</u> to RSVP.

You can subscribe to future *Employee Benefits & Executive Compensation* advisories and other Alston & Bird publications by completing our **publications subscription form**.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact your Alston & Bird attorney or any of the following:

Members of Alston & Bird's Employee Benefits & Executive Compensation Group

Adam Adcock +1 202 239 3018 adam.adcock@alston.com

Emily Seymour Costin +1 202 239 3695 emily.costin@alston.com

R. Blake Crohan +1 404 881 4625 blake.crohan@alston.com

Meredith Gage +1 404 881 7953 meredith.gage@alston.com

Ashley Gillihan +1 404 881 7390 ashley.gillihan@alston.com

David R. Godofsky +1 202 239 3392 david.godofsky@alston.com

Amy Heppner +1 404 881 7846 amy.heppner@alston.com John R. Hickman +1 404 881 7885 john.hickman@alston.com

H. Douglas Hinson +1 404 881 7590 doug.hinson@alston.com

James S. Hutchinson +1 212 210 9552 jamie.hutchinson@alston.com

Michelle Jackson +1 404 881 7870 michelle.jackson@alston.com

Kenneth M. Johnson +1 919 862 2290 kenneth.johnson@alston.com

Laurie Kirkwood +1 404 881 7814 laurie.kirkwood@alston.com

Blake Calvin MacKay +1 404 881 4982 blake.mackay@alston.com Steve Mindy +1 202 239 3816 steven.mindy@alston.com

Earl Pomeroy +1 202 239 3835 earl.pomeroy@alston.com

Cremeithius M. Riggins +1 404 881 4595 cremeithius.riggins@alston.com

Syed Fahad Saghir +1 202 239 3220 fahad.saghir@alston.com

John B. Shannon +1 404 881 7466 john.shannon@alston.com

Carolyn E. Smith +1 202 239 3566 carolyn.smith@alston.com

Dakota Sneed +1 404 881 7668 dakota.sneed@alston.com Michael L. Stevens +1 404 881 7970 mike.stevens@alston.com

Ellie Studdard +1 404 881 7291 ellie.studdard@alston.com

Kerry T. Wenzel +1 404 881 4983 kerry.wenzel@alston.com

Kyle R. Woods +1 404 881 7525 kyle.woods@alston.com

Elinor A. Hiller +1 202 239 3766 elinor.hiller@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD

WWW.ALSTON.COM

© ALSTON & BIRD LLP 2023