

ALSTON & BIRD



HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN LUNCH GROUP

September 3, 2026

One Atlantic Center
1201 W. Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30309-3424
(404) 881-7885
E-mail: john.hickman@alston.com

©2026 All Rights Reserved

INDEX

1. Health & Welfare Benefits Monthly Update Presentation

ALSTON & BIRD

Health & Welfare Benefits

MONTHLY UPDATE

© Alston & Bird LLP 2026

0

Health & Welfare Benefits

MONTHLY UPDATE

September 2026 Agenda

- Regulatory Update (including IDR Rule)
- Litigation Update
- Critical Guidance for Trump Accounts and DCAP Discrimination Testing
- At Last: DOL Electronic Delivery Guidance for GHPs
- Grab Bag

1

ALSTON & BIRD

1

ALSTON & BIRD

Health Care Regulatory Update



2

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Final Rules/Notices

- [Independent Dispute Resolution Operations](#), (HHS-CMS; DOL-EBSA; TREAS-IRS), Final Rule published 6/4/26
 - [Federal Independent Dispute Resolution Operations; Correction](#), published 8/28/26
- [Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2027; and Basic Health Program](#), (HHS-CMS), Final Rule published 5/20/26
- [Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability; Accessibility of Web Content and Mobile Applications of Recipients of Departmental Financial Assistance; Extension of Compliance Dates](#), (HHS-OCR) published 5/11/26
- [Contract Year 2027 Policy and Technical Changes to Medicare Advantage, Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit, Medicare Cost Plan, and Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly Programs](#), (HHS-CMS), Final Rule, published 4/6/2026
- [Administrative Simplification: Adoption of Standards for Health Care Attachment Transactions and Electronic Signatures](#) (CMS-HHS), Final Rule published 3/24/26.
- [Update to the Women's Preventive Services Guidelines](#) (HHS-HRSA), Notice published 1/5/26

3

ALSTON & BIRD

3

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Proposed Rules

- [Employer Contributions to Trump Accounts and Nondiscrimination Rules for Dependent Care Assistance Programs](#) (TREAS-IRS), Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published 8/11/26; comments deadline 9/25/26.
- [Electronic Disclosure by Group Health Plans Under ERISA](#) (DOL-EBSA), Proposed Rule published 7/23/26; comments deadline 9/21/26.
- [Excepted Fertility Benefits](#) (DOL-EBSA), Proposed Rule published 5/13/26; comments due by July 13, 2026.
- [Fiduciary Duties in Selecting Designated Investment Alternatives](#) (DOL-EBSA), Proposed Rule published March 30, 2026
- [Trump Accounts](#) (TREAS-IRS), Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on 3/6/2026
- [Trump Accounts Contributions Pilot Program](#) (TREAS-IRS), Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published on 3/6/2026
- [Employee or Independent Contractor Status under the Fair Labor Standards Act, Family and Medical Leave Act, and Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act](#) (DOL-WHD), Notice of Proposed Rule published on 2/27/2026; comment deadline 4/28/26
- [Requirement to Provide Paper Statements in Certain Cases-Amendments to Electronic Disclosure Safe Harbors](#) (DOL-EBSA), Proposed Rule published on 2/25/2026
- [Improving Transparency into Pharmacy Benefit Manager Fee Disclosure](#) (DOL-EBSA), Proposed Rule published on 1/30/26

4

ALSTON & BIRD

4

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



At the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

These proposed rules are under review at OMB and are not yet publicly available:

- Proposed Rule: **Definition of an "Employer" Under Section 3(5) of ERISA -- Association Health Plans** (DOL-EBSA), rec'd 8/11/26
- Final Rule: **Transparency in Coverage** (HHS-CMA), rec'd 7/27/26
- Proposed Rule: **Improving Transparency into Pharmacy Benefit Manager Fee Disclosure** (DOL-EBSA), rec'd 7/23/26
- Proposed Rule: **Loyalty and the Exclusive Purpose Rule in Selecting Plan Investments and Exercising Shareholder Rights** (DOL-EBSA), rec'd 6/30/26
- Proposed Rule: **Short-Term, Limited-Duration Insurance** (HHS-CMS), rec'd 5/30/26
- Final Rule: **HIPAA Privacy Rule: Changes to Support Coordinated Care and Individual Engagement and Reduce Regulatory Burdens**, rec'd 4/2/2026 (HHS-OCR))

5

ALSTON & BIRD

5

ALSTON & BIRD

Final Rule: Federal IDR

6

Health & Welfare Benefits
 MONTHLY UPDATE


Overview of the 2026 IDR Final Rule

What the Rule Covers

- IDR Gateway registration for plans/issuers
- Required use of CARCs/RARCs on remittance advice
- Enhanced QPA disclosures (plan sponsor name, registration number)
- Revised open negotiation and IDR initiation procedures
- Batching and bundling rules
- Reduced administrative fee (\$15/party/dispute)
- Extenuating circumstances extensions

Key Facts

- General effective date: August 3, 2026
- Phased applicability (some provisions effective on date; others 90 days after guidance)
- CARC/RARC compliance required for items and services furnished on or after January 1, 2027 ([per guidance issued July 17, 2026](#))
- IDR Gateway functionality expected Spring 2027

7

ALSTON & BIRD

7

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Key Changes from 2023 Proposed Rule

Registration Timeline Extended

From 30 to 90 business days after registry becomes available

Fully-Insured Plans Exempt from Individual Registration

Issuers register once on behalf of all fully-insured coverage; individual plans do not register separately

Administrative Fee Slashed to \$15

Reduced from \$115/party/dispute; effective June 11, 2026 (good cause waiver of 30-day delay)

CARC/RARC [Guidance Issued July 17, 2026](#)

9 specified RARCs required; compliance required for items/services furnished on or after January 1, 2027

Open Negotiation/IDR Phased In

Applicable 90 days after Departments issue guidance on portal functionality (not on effective date)

8

ALSTON & BIRD

8

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Obligations

Obligation	Responsible Party	TPA Role
Register with Federal IDR Registry	Self-insured plan (plan sponsor identified)	May register on behalf of plan
Provide QPA disclosures	Plan/issuer	Typically provides on behalf of plan
Use CARCs/RARCs on remittance advice	Plan/issuer	Typically implements
Participate in open negotiation	Plan/issuer (or representative)	Often handles operationally
Submit offer in IDR process	Plan/issuer	May submit as representative entity
Pay administrative fee (\$15)	Plan/issuer	May remit; joint/several liability if attestation made
Pay certified IDR entity fee	Non-prevailing party	N/A
Comply with payment determination (30 days)	Self-insured plan (NOT the TPA)	Not legally obligated to pay claims
Update registration (within 30 days)	Plan/issuer	May perform on behalf of plan
Annual registration confirmation (Q4)	Plan/issuer	May perform on behalf of plan

9

ALSTON & BIRD

9

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Action Steps: Plan Sponsors

- 1 **Review and amend ASA/TPA agreements**
Address IDR compliance, registration, fee payment, open negotiation, and CARC/RARC implementation duties.
- 2 **Confirm TPA will register plan**
Registration within 90 business days of registry availability. Ensure plan sponsor name and EIN are correctly identified.
- 3 **Establish governance procedures**
Monitor TPA compliance with IDR process requirements. Document oversight activities.
- 4 **Budget for IDR fees**
Administrative fees (\$15/dispute—no longer \$115) and potential certified IDR entity fees for adverse determinations.
- 5 **Evaluate State law opt-in**
Consider whether plan will opt in to any specified State law and ensure proper effectuation in plan documents.

10

ALSTON & BIRD

10

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Action Steps: TPAs

- 1 **Prepare for IDR portal registration**
Anticipate registry availability within 24 months; later guidance says Spring 2027. Develop processes to register each self-insured client plan individually.
- 2 **Implement RARC capability for 9 specified codes**
RARCs effective November 1, 2026; compliance required for items/services furnished on or after January 1, 2027. If plan/issuer fails to provide required RARCs, providers retain the right to initiate open negotiation within 30 days.
- 3 **Update QPA disclosure processes**
Include plan sponsor legal business name and registration number on QPA disclosures.
- 4 **Develop open negotiation workflows**
Revised process conducted through the Federal IDR Gateway once functionality is announced.
- 5 **Review batching procedures**
Claims from different self-insured plans CANNOT be batched even if processed by same TPA; All batching provisions applicable for disputes with open negotiation periods beginning on or after November 1, 2026.

11

ALSTON & BIRD

11

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Key Dates & Timelines

● June 11, 2026	\$15 administrative fee effective (good cause waiver of 30-day delay)
● July 17, 2026	RARC guidance issued (specifying 9 required RARCs)
● August 3, 2026	General effective date; batching functionality notice issued
● November 1, 2026	All batching provisions effective; RARC codes effective; withdrawal/extenuating circumstances modifications effective
● January 1, 2027	CARC/RARC compliance required (items/services furnished on or after this date)
● Spring 2027	IDR Gateway functionality expected (registry first, then open negotiation, initiation, entity selection, withdrawals, extensions)
● TBD + 90 biz days	Registration deadline for self-insured plans (90 business days after registry available)
● TBD + 120 days	Suspension of resubmission of incorrectly batched/bundled disputes (120 days after registration period expires)

12

ALSTON & BIRD

12

ALSTON & BIRD

Litigation Update

13



Litigation Update: TMA III En Banc (5th Cir. Aug. 11, 2026)

Texas Medical Ass'n v. U.S. Dep't of HHS (TMA III), No. 23-40217 (5th Cir. Aug. 11, 2026) (en banc)

- **Ghost Rates:** QPA may not be calculated using "ghost rates" (rates in provider contracts that were never actually paid). Vacated the portions of the 2022 Final Rules permitting ghost-rate inclusion.
- **Bonus/Incentive Payments:** Upheld exclusion of bonus and incentive payments from QPA calculation as a permissible reading of the statute.
- **Single-Case Agreements (SCAs):** Inclusion of SCAs in the QPA is permissible; the statute does not require their exclusion.
- **Remedy:** Vacatur limited to ghost-rate provisions; remaining challenged provisions upheld.



Is Your High-Deductible PPO Option Dominant?

- Additional complaints filed against for profit employers regarding their health plan PPO offerings.
- Complaints generally allege that the employers breached their ERISA fiduciary duties:
 - in offering lower deductible PPO option(s) "dominated" by a higher deductible option; and
 - failing to disclose/describe material information regarding the costs



When is a PPO Option “Dominant”?

- Allegedly, if ee premiums + MOOP in total are greater for the lower deductible option for the same plan benefits, *e.g.*, same PPO network, benefits, etc.
 - Single coverage premiums + MOOP = \$4,240 for the lower and \$3,956 for the higher, difference of \$284
- Evaluate 2027 premiums and MOOP levels across lower deductible options?
- Defenses
 - Standing?
 - Settlor v. fiduciary function?
 - Is the lower deductible option actually less advantageous for all employees no matter the level of health care expenditures?

Critical Guidance for DCAP Discrimination Testing and Trump Accounts



New Proposed Regulation Guidance

- Proposed Regulation published 8/11/26
- Comments due 45 days after publication
- Addresses Section 128 Trump Account contributions
 - Trump Account contribution program requirements
 - Salary reductions
 - Annual limitation
 - Nondiscrimination rules
- Addresses Section 129 nondiscrimination testing



Effective Date for Proposed Regulations

- Proposed regulations apply to plan years **beginning on or after** the date final regulations are published in the Federal Register.
- Taxpayers *may* rely on these proposed regulations for plan years **beginning before** the date final regulations are published in the Federal Register.



Nondiscrimination Testing

- Eligibility test
- Great News. 55% Average Benefits Test ONLY applies to individuals who participate – i.e., no benefit means can exclude them from denominator.
- Clarification also provided for other aspects of nondiscrimination tests
- The big picture – guidance for DCAP (also applies to Trump Accounts)
 - Eligibility test
 - Contributions and benefits test
 - Concentration test (DCAP only)
 - 55% Average benefits test

20

ALSTON & BIRD

20



Nondiscrimination Testing: Prohibited Group

- HCE Definition for DCAP/Trump Account Testing Is the Same Definition as for § 401(k) Testing
 - More-than-5% owner in current or prior year
 - > \$160,000 for 2026 look back compensation in prior year (Optional: members of top 20% paid group).
- Also, More-Than-5% Shareholder Used for DCAP 25% Concentration Test

21

ALSTON & BIRD

21



Contributions and Benefits Test

- The Statute:
 - "The contributions or benefits provided under the plan shall not discriminate in favor of highly compensated employees." Code § 129(d)(2).
- IRS guidance
 - designed to provide contributions and benefits on the same terms for all employees who are eligible to participate, *even if eligible employees receive different amounts of contributions and benefits as a result of differing elections or differing utilization of the contributions and benefits.*

22

ALSTON & BIRD

22



Eligibility Test

- Must Benefit a Reasonable Classification of Employees
 - Use the reasonable classification test
 - Is the classification reasonable (e.g., all salaried employees, all employees in Georgia, manufacturing employees, etc.)?
 - A minimum percentage of non-HCEs must "benefit"
 - If 50% of non-HCEs benefit, the plan automatically passes
 - Otherwise,
 - If less than 100% of HCEs benefit and/or
 - non-HCEs represent more than 60% of the workforce, the plan can pass with a lower percentage
 - Merely a safe harbor. Not an exclusive test. Other methods
 - Facts and circumstances with no one factor determinative. Factors include:
 - Business reason for classification
 - Percentage of employees eligible
 - Are those eligible representative across salary ranges
 - Difference between plan's ratio percentage and employer's safe harbor percentage

23

ALSTON & BIRD

23



Eligibility Test

- The Prohibited Group
- Permitted Exclusions
 - Employees < age 21 (if plan excludes them)
 - Employees < 1 year of service (if plan excludes them)
 - Collective bargaining
- What to Do When DCAP Fails Test

24

ALSTON & BIRD

24



Eligibility Test: Calculations Needed

- Percentage of Non-HCEs Who Benefit
- Percentage of HCEs Who Benefit
- Percentage of Employees Who Are Non-HCEs
- See the Code § 410(b) Nondiscriminatory Classification Table for the Safe and Unsafe Harbor Percentages

25

ALSTON & BIRD

25



Applying the Eligibility Test

■ Step 1 — Determine Benefiting Percentage

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{NHCE\%} & = & \frac{\text{NHCEs Benefiting}}{\text{Total NHCEs}} \\ \text{HCE\%} & = & \frac{\text{HCEs Benefiting}}{\text{Total HCEs}} \end{array}$$

■ Step 2 — Determine Ratio Percentage

$$\text{Ratio\%} = \frac{\text{NHCE\%}}{\text{HCE\%}}$$

26

ALSTON & BIRD

26



Applying the Eligibility Test

■ Step 3 — Compare Ratio % to Safe Harbor %

■ Calculate NHCE Concentration %

$$\frac{\text{\# of NHCEs}}{\text{Total \# of NHCEs + HCEs}}$$

- Look up Safe Harbor % in the Code § 410(b) Nondiscriminatory Classification Table for the calculated NHCE Concentration %

27

ALSTON & BIRD

27



Applying the Eligibility Test

- If $\text{Ratio}\% \geq \text{Safe Harbor \%}$, Then Pass
- If $\text{Ratio}\% \leq \text{Safe Harbor \%}$, Then Fail
- If Between Pass/Fail, Facts and Circumstances Must Be Considered. Look at:
 - business reason; percentage of workforce eligible to benefit; how close to pass/fail; and representativeness of covered group to workforce



More-Than-5% Shareholder 25% Concentration Test

- **Not Applicable to Trump Accounts**
- The Test
 - How much do owners get?
 - Not more than 25% of the benefits under the DCAP may be provided to individuals (or their spouses or dependents) who are shareholders or owners owning more than 5% of the employer (on any day of the year)
- What to Do When Plan Fails Test



55% Average Benefits Test

- What the Test Says:
 - The average benefits provided to non-highly compensated employees (NHCEs) under all DCAPs of the employer must be at least 55% of the average benefits provided to HCEs under all DCAPs
 - Proposed regulation “clarification” provides that you only look at “participants”
- What the Test Does:
 - Ensures that HCEs do not participate disproportionately
 - Focuses on average (not aggregate) benefits
- HCEs are the prohibited group
 - Apply Code § 401(k) definition

30

ALSTON & BIRD

30



55% Average Benefits Test

- It is a Utilization Test
 - “Benefits provided” means the amount of dependent care reimbursement that the employee actually receives under the DCAP (not just salary reductions)*
 - Forfeitures under the DCAP aren't benefits

* But given timing and administrative limitations most administrators test based on salary reduction amounts.

31

ALSTON & BIRD

31



55% Average Benefits Test

- Who Can Be Excluded (“Excludables”)?
- Employees who have not completed one year of service by the end of the year
 - Employees who have not reached age 21 by the end of the year
 - Collectively-bargained employees who are excluded from the plan, if dependent care benefits were the subject of good faith bargaining (but must be included if CBA requires benefits)
 - For benefits provided through salary reduction, any employee whose compensation is less than \$25,000
- Who Must Be Included in the Test? Historical approaches debated in past:
 - Approach #1: Count all employees (other than Excludables), even if they aren't eligible to participate in the DCAP?
 - Approach #2: Count only the employees who are eligible to participate in the DCAP?
 - Can DCAP provide that only eligible if have children?
 - Approach #3: Count only those who actually participate?
- Proposed regulation clarifies that Approach #3 is the correct approach

32

ALSTON & BIRD

32



55% Average Benefits Test: Examples

Example 1.

- 15 HCEs and 15 NHCEs, all eligible under same terms and conditions
- Eleven HCEs elect \$7,500 each, and four of the NHCEs elect \$7,500 each
- The remaining employees elect no benefits under the plan.
- Average benefit provided to HCEs is \$7,500 ($\$82,500/11$) and the average benefit provided to the NHCEs is also \$7,500 ($\$30,000/4$).

PASS -- the average benefits provided to the NHCEs is 100 percent of the average benefits provided to the HCEs. Note: would not pass if included the “zeros” in the test.

33

ALSTON & BIRD

33



55% Average Benefits Test: Examples

Example 2.

- 15 HCEs and 15 NHCEs, all eligible under same terms and conditions
- Eleven HCEs elect \$7,500 each, the remaining HCEs elect nothing. Average for HCEs \$7500
- One of the NHCEs elects benefits of \$7,500; three of the NHCEs elect benefits of \$5,000; one of the NHCEs elects benefits of \$2,500; and two of the NHCEs elect benefits of \$1,000. Average benefit of \$3857.14 (\$27,000 / 7 electing NHCEs).

FAIL: the average benefits provided to the NHCEs is 51.4 percent of the average benefits provided to the HCEs (\$3,857.14/\$7,500).

Observation: Perhaps a minimal contribution rate will help ensure passage. But it must be equally applied.

34

ALSTON & BIRD

34



55% Average Benefits Test: Examples

Example 3 (Same as Example #2 but some NHCEs are excludables)

- 15 HCEs and 15 NHCEs, all eligible under same terms and conditions
- Eleven HCEs elect \$7,500 each, the remaining HCEs elect nothing. Average for HCEs \$7500
- One of the NHCEs elects benefits of \$7,500; three of the NHCEs elect benefits of \$5,000; one of the NHCEs elects benefits of \$2,500; and two of the NHCEs elect benefits of \$1,000.
- Two of the NHCEs electing \$5000 and two of the NHCEs electing \$1000 are excludable.
- Now the average benefits (with exclusions) for NHCEs is \$5000 (\$15000/3)

PASS: the average benefits provided to the NHCEs is 66.7% of the average benefits provided to the HCEs (\$5,000/\$7,500).

Observation: Excludables can participate but their election(s) will not impact NHCE percentage.

35

ALSTON & BIRD

35



55% Average Benefits Test: Examples

Example 4 (same as example 2 but correction prior to reporting deadline)

- 15 HCEs and 15 NHCEs, all eligible under same terms and conditions
- Eleven HCEs elect \$7,500 each, the remaining HCEs elect nothing. Average for HCEs \$7500
- One of the NHCEs elects benefits of \$7,500; three of the NHCEs elect benefits of \$5,000; one of the NHCEs elects benefits of \$2,500; and two of the NHCEs elect benefits of \$1,000. Average benefit of \$3857.14 (\$27,000 / 7 electing NHCEs).

INITIAL OUTCOME FAIL: the average benefits provided to the NHCEs is 51.4 percent of the average benefits provided to the HCEs (\$3,857.14/\$7,500).

REVISED OUTCOME PASS: Prior to reporting deadline each HCE has \$500 included in income resulting in an average of \$7000 for HCEs. The average benefits provided to the NHCEs is 55.1 percent of the average benefits provided to the HCEs (\$3,857.14/\$7,000).



Interesting Issues

- Effective Date
 - Can be relied upon immediately
 - Application to prior years where testing was borderline?
 - Application to years in which test may have failed?
- Election change issues
 - No guidance, but would seem reasonable to allow elections to increase DCAP or allow new elections for HCEs
 - What about NHCEs?
 - What about election decreases?



Corrections

■ Corrections guidance

- Correction possible by inclusion in income by W-2 reporting date
 - Is that enough time? Other options – end of following plan year?
 - No mention of other corrections – e.g., increase NHCE benefits
- Correct by including amounts in income/wages
 - If ALL HCEs exceed the 55%, include the amount in excess of 55% for each
 - Otherwise: Reasonable method (e.g., ADP corrections – start with highest HCE, reduce and level until in compliance)

Trump Account Guidance

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Trump Account Contribution Program Requirements

- Components:
 - Separate written plan
 - Notice to employees
 - Written statement (W-2)
- Employee "Written" Certification can be relied upon
 - the account beneficiary is the employee (non-salary reduction) or anticipated to be the dependent of the employee for that employee's taxable year during which the contribution is made;
 - the beneficiary's date of birth,
 - no facts are known to the employee that would make the account beneficiary ineligible to receive a contribution to his or her Trump account for that calendar year.
 - Employer must use a method reasonably designed to verify, through information provided by the trustee, payroll processor, or other service provider, that the contribution is made to a valid Trump account
- Employer must notify trustee of contribution eligibility/ineligibility
- Employer cannot designate Trump account trustee (only one Trump account allowed per beneficiary)
- Employer follows written plan requirements

40

ALSTON & BIRD

40

Health & Welfare Benefits MONTHLY UPDATE



Trump Account Contribution Salary Reductions

- Up to \$2500 (indexed) allowed per employee (not per trust beneficiary) via employer contribution pre-tax salary reduction
 - Excess employer contribution amounts (up to \$5000 overall Trump Account limit) would be taxable compensation
 - Exclusion applies solely for income tax (not employment tax wages)
- Salary reduction limited to dependent of employee (due to deferred compensation issues)
- Elections must be prospectively changeable, at least monthly
- Cafeteria Plan amendment required

41

ALSTON & BIRD

41



Trump Account Contribution

- Employee does not include self employed/sole proprietor
 - Contrast DCAP (non-salary reduction)
- Dependent is defined per IRC 152
 - In case of divorced parents only one parent can claim (contrast health care definition)
- DOL Tech Rel 2026-2
 - Trump Account Contribution Plan will generally not trigger ERISA coverage (analogous to HSA guidance).

At Last: DOL Electronic Delivery Guidance for Group Health Plans



Shift from opt-in model to default electronic delivery

- Under the current 2002 safe harbor, electronic delivery generally is permitted only for:
 - Employees who are “wired at work”—that is, employees who use electronic systems as an integral part of their job and have effective work-related access to disclosures; or
 - Individuals who affirmatively consent to electronic disclosure and satisfy detailed consent procedures.
- **Proposed Rule: Default Electronic Delivery**
 - The proposed rule would largely eliminate the need to determine whether participants are “wired at work” or to obtain affirmative consent.
 - Instead, electronic delivery would generally be available whenever the participant or beneficiary has provided an electronic address, or has been assigned one by an employer.

44

ALSTON & BIRD

44



Electronic Disclosure Requirements 101

- Under the new framework, a health plan administrator could satisfy ERISA’s disclosure obligations by:
 - Posting required disclosures to a website or other electronic repository;
 - Sending participants a Notice of Internet Availability informing them that the document is available online;
 - Providing free paper copies upon request; and
 - Allowing participants to opt out of electronic delivery and continue receiving paper disclosures.

45

ALSTON & BIRD

45



Similarities to Qualified Plan Rule

- Like the 2020 pension plan safe harbor, the proposed rule would:
 - Use a notice-and-access framework;
 - Require a NOIA whenever documents are posted;
 - Require website accessibility, readability, and searchability standards;
 - Require confidentiality safeguards;
 - Permit annual combined NOIAs;
 - Require procedures to address invalid electronic addresses; and
 - Preserve participant rights to paper copies and global opt-outs.
- In many respects, the proposed rule simply extends the operational structure of the pension plan safe harbor to group health plans.

46

ALSTON & BIRD

46



Differences from Qualified Plan Rule

- **1. No Direct Email Delivery Option**
 - The proposal does not permit the alternative direct-email delivery method available under the pension plan safe harbor.
- **2. Broader Definition of Covered Documents**
 - The proposed health-plan rule would allow electronic delivery even for documents that must be furnished solely upon request, such as certain plan documents requested under ERISA § 104(b)(4).
- **3. Adult Dependent Children as Covered Individuals**
 - The proposal allows dependent children age 18 or older who provide their own electronic address to receive disclosures directly.
- **4. Modified Initial Notice Requirement**
 - The health-plan proposal would allow administrators to send the initial transition notice electronically to individuals already receiving disclosures electronically under the 2002 safe harbor.

47

ALSTON & BIRD

47



Key Takeaways

- The proposal will simplify requirements for electronic disclosures.
 - The need to track who qualifies as “wired at work,” obtain affirmative consent from non-workforce individuals, and maintain consent documentation would largely disappear.
 - Participants could instead be treated as electronically connected by virtue of providing an email address or mobile number.
- Plan sponsors must still manage operational requirements, including website maintenance standards, NOIA procedures, monitoring for invalid electronic addresses, and opt-out processing systems.

ALSTON & BIRD

Grab Bag



Medicare Notice of Creditable Coverage

- Generally due BEFORE October 15 each year.
- CMS has eliminated the requirement for account-based plans, including HRAs, to provide Medicare Part D creditable/non-creditable coverage notices to participants or to CMS.
- Why the change? Account-based plans reimburse medical expenses rather than directly providing prescription drug coverage, making an actuarial comparison to Part D impossible. CMS concluded creditable coverage concepts are "inapplicable" to these arrangements.
- Effective date: June 1, 2026; applies to coverage beginning January 1, 2027.



ACA Pay or Play Affordability Threshold for 2027

- Pay or play penalty threshold for affordability will be 10.22% for 2027, up from 9.96% for 2026.
- The Federal Poverty Level (FPL) for US mainland will be \$15,960 for 2027 and for employers that use the FPL Safe Harbor, the required employee contribution for self-only coverage cannot exceed \$135.93 per month, up from \$129.90 for 2026.

ALSTON & BIRD

Questions