

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

MAY 2020

EDITOR'S NOTE: COAST-TO-COAST

Steven A. Meyerowitz

KEY REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS IMPACTING THE CALIFORNIA LENDING AND PAYMENTS SPACE

Pratin Vallabhaneni, John Wagner, and Margaux Curie

NEW YORK OVERHAULS MORTGAGE LOAN SERVICER BUSINESS CONDUCT REGULATIONS

Nanci L. Weissgold, Morey Barnes Yost, and Anoush Garakani

THE FEDERAL RESERVE'S FINAL CONTROL RULE: SIX THINGS TO KNOW

Michael Nonaka, Jeremy Newell, Karen Solomon, Dwight Smith, Randy Benjenk, and Cody Gaffney

SUSTAINABILITY LINKED LOANS AND FUND FINANCE

Emma Russell and Emily Fuller

WHAT'S YOUR LEVERAGE? MOST COMMUNITY BANKS CAN "OPT IN" TO THE COMMUNITY BANK LEVERAGE RATIO FRAMEWORK

Clifford S. Stanford, Sanford M. Brown, John W. Gerl, Anna Chong, John T. Hobgood, and Jordan A. Jensen

GOVERNOR CUOMO PROPOSES SIGNIFICANT EXPANSION OF POWERS OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES

Brian K. Mahanna, David Gringer, Franca Harris Gutierrez, Brendan R. McGuire, and Susan Schroeder



LexisNexis

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 137

NUMBER 5

May 2020

Editor's Note: Coast-to-Coast

Steven A. Meyerowitz

217

Key Regulatory Developments Impacting the California Lending and Payments Space

Pratin Vallabhaneni, John Wagner, and Margaux Curie

219

New York Overhauls Mortgage Loan Servicer Business Conduct Regulations

Nanci L. Weissgold, Morey Barnes Yost, and Anoush Garakani

240

The Federal Reserve's Final Control Rule: Six Things to Know

Michael Nonaka, Jeremy Newell, Karen Solomon, Dwight Smith, Randy Benjenk, and Cody Gaffney

257

Sustainability Linked Loans and Fund Finance

Emma Russell and Emily Fuller

262

What's Your Leverage? Most Community Banks Can "Opt In" to the Community Bank Leverage Ratio Framework

Clifford S. Stanford, Sanford M. Brown, John W. Gerl, Anna Chong, John T. Hobgood, and Jordan A. Jensen

268

Governor Cuomo Proposes Significant Expansion of Powers of New York Department of Financial Services

Brian K. Mahanna, David Gringer, Franca Harris Gutierrez, Brendan R. McGuire, and Susan Schroeder

273

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2020 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

What's Your Leverage? Most Community Banks Can “Opt In” to the Community Bank Leverage Ratio Framework

*Clifford S. Stanford, Sanford M. Brown, John W. Gerl, Anna Chong,
John T. Hobgood, and Jordan A. Jensen**

This article examines how the new community bank leverage ratio could reduce regulatory burdens on some community banks – and the risks involved.

On April 6, 2020, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) (collectively, the “agencies”) issued two interim final rules implementing Section 4012 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the “CARES Act”).

The CARES Act was signed into law on March 27, 2020. In part, the CARES Act directs the agencies to temporarily lower the new community bank leverage ratio (“CBLR”)—discussed in detail below—from nine percent to eight percent and to provide a qualifying community banking organization (“QCBO”—generally, a depository institution or its holding company with total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion) whose leverage ratio falls below eight percent a reasonable grace period to satisfy that requirement.

Accordingly, the agencies’ interim final rules temporarily will modify the CBLR framework so that, beginning in the second quarter of 2020 and through the end of 2020, a QCBO that has a leverage ratio of eight percent or greater and meets certain other criteria may still elect to use the CBLR framework. In addition, community banking organizations will have until January 1, 2022, before the CBLR requirement is re-established at greater than nine percent. The agencies’ interim final rules also provide community banking organizations with a clear and gradual transition back to the previously established nine percent ratio. Specifically, the CBLR will be eight percent beginning in the second quarter of 2020 and through the end of 2020, 8.5 percent for 2021, and nine percent thereafter. Finally, the interim final rules maintain a two-quarter

* Clifford S. Stanford (cliff.stanford@alston.com), Sanford M. Brown (sanford.brown@alston.com), John W. Gerl (john.gerl@alston.com), Anna Chong (anna.chong@alston.com), John T. Hobgood (john.hobgood@alston.com), and Jordan A. Jensen (jordan.jensen@alston.com) are attorneys in the Financial Services & Products Group at Alston & Bird LLP.

grace period for a QCBO whose leverage ratio falls below eight percent, so long as the community banking organization maintains a leverage ratio of seven percent or greater.

BACKGROUND

On May 24, 2018, the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (“EGRRCPA”) amended provisions in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act as well as other law. Among other things, Section 201 of the EGRRCPA was intended to provide community banking organizations with regulatory relief from the complexities and burdens of the generally applicable risk-based capital rules (including the Basel III requirements implemented in the United States), while still ensuring a high quality and quantity of capital consistent with the safety and soundness goals of regulatory capital standards. Specifically, the EGRRCPA directed the agencies to promulgate rules providing for a CBLR between eight percent and 10 percent for qualifying community banking organizations (“QCBO” – generally, a depository institution or its holding company with total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion).

Pursuant to the EGRRCPA, the agencies published a joint notice of proposed rulemaking on February 8, 2019, which, among other things, proposed a CBLR of greater than nine percent. Some banking industry groups advocated for an eight percent threshold, arguing that a nine percent standard was higher than necessary. According to the Congressional Research Service, of the 5,078 FDIC-insured depository institutions that qualify based on size and risk criteria, approximately 4,440 (or 83 percent of all U.S. banks) would exceed a nine percent threshold and would be eligible to enter the CBLR framework without having to hold additional capital. If the threshold were set at eight percent, an additional 515 banks (9.6 percent) would exceed the lower threshold.

Thus, the difference between eight percent or nine percent could provide appropriate regulatory relief to or remove important safeguards from almost 10 percent of the nation’s banks, which collectively hold about two percent of total U.S. banking industry assets. Banks that would be CBLR-compliant at a nine percent threshold are similar in size, activities, and off-balance-sheet exposures to eight percent threshold banks. The agencies ultimately settled on the nine percent threshold, while also providing for a leverage ratio of eight percent in certain limited circumstances, and issued a final rule implementing the CBLR framework on September 17, 2019.

SUMMARY OF THE FINAL RULE

Importantly, QCBOs subject to the risk-based capital ratios contained in the agencies' existing capital rules will no longer have to report those ratios if they opt in to the CBLR framework, beginning the first quarter of 2020. QCBOs may opt in to the CBLR framework by completing a CBLR reporting schedule in its call report or Form FR Y-9C. The proposed CBLR reporting schedules, as well as revisions to the FDIC's deposit insurance assessment system, will be finalized separately.

In response to public comments, the final rule implements a few important changes to the proposed rule, including the following:

- Adoption of tier 1 capital, instead of tangible equity, as the leverage ratio numerator, in conformance with the existing regulatory leverage ratio.
- Allowing a banking organization that elects to use the CBLR framework to continue to be considered "well capitalized" for prompt corrective action ("PCA") purposes during a two-quarter grace period if its leverage ratio is nine percent or less but greater than eight percent.

Under the final rule, a QCBO cannot have elected to be treated as an advanced approaches banking organization and must have:

- (1) A leverage ratio (equal to tier 1 capital divided by average total consolidated assets) greater than nine percent;
- (2) Total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion;
- (3) Total off-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives other than sold credit derivatives and unconditionally cancelable commitments) of 25 percent or less of total consolidated assets; and
- (4) A sum of total trading assets and trading liabilities five percent or less of total consolidated assets.

A QCBO that elects to use the CBLR framework will be required to calculate its leverage ratio by considering the modifications made by the agencies' capital simplification rule and the current expected credit losses methodology ("CECL") transitions rule. If a QCBO maintains a leverage ratio of greater than nine percent, it will be considered to have satisfied the generally applicable risk-based and leverage capital requirements, the "well capitalized" ratio requirements for purposes of Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (generally known as the "PCA Rules"), and any other capital or leverage requirements applicable to the QCBO.

The final rule also includes a two-quarter grace period during which a QCBO that temporarily fails to meet any of the qualifying criteria, including

the greater than nine percent leverage ratio requirement, would generally still be deemed well capitalized if the QCBO maintains a leverage ratio greater than eight percent during those two quarters. At the end of the grace period, the banking organization must return to compliance with the QCBO criteria to qualify for the CBLR framework, or otherwise must comply with and report under the generally applicable capital rules.

QCBOs may subsequently opt out of the CBLR framework by completing their call report or Form FR Y-9C and reporting the capital ratios required under the generally applicable capital rules. A QCBO that has opted out of the CBLR framework and desires to opt back in would need to meet the qualifying criteria discussed above.

THE CBLR'S IMPACT ON QCBOs

Most QCBOs have simplified balance sheets compared with larger banking organizations, for which the Basel III risk-based capital rules were primarily intended. As a result, the CBLR provides significant regulatory relief to QCBOs that would otherwise report under the generally applicable risk-based capital rules. Opting in to the CBLR allows for a QCBO to be considered “well capitalized” under the PCA Rules through one simple calculation (assuming the organization is not also subject to any written agreement, order, capital directive, or as applicable, PCA directive).

Additionally, calculating the CBLR involves an existing measure already used by QCBOs for calculating leverage – tier 1 capital. Thus, a QCBO can experience the benefits of the new rule while also using familiar methods and calculations. The cost of adoption is low as well. If qualified, a depository institution simply has to adopt CBLR in its call reports or Form FR Y-9C. The two-quarter grace period offers further flexibility. For instance, if a QCBO engages in a major transaction or has an unexpected event that impacts the nine percent leverage ratio, that QCBO will have the ability to reestablish compliance with the CBLR without having to revert to the generally applicable risk-based capital rules. Since the CBLR is voluntary, it is within each QCBO's discretion whether the benefits are sufficient enough to adopt the new rule.

QCBOs should be aware that opting in to the CBLR essentially raises its well-capitalized leverage ratio requirements under the PCA Rules from five percent to nine percent. Since the QCBO would use the CBLR to comply with the PCA Rules, the QCBO must ensure its CBLR is above nine percent or find itself attempting to comply with both the CBLR and the risk-based capital rules. Such a situation would be counterproductive to the purpose of the CBLR. QCBOs should be aware that by opting in to the CBLR framework, a

QCBO commits to a new definition of “well capitalized” under the PCA Rules, requiring the retention of more capital compared with organizations that do not opt in to the CBLR.

Additionally, certain community banking industry representatives have suggested that the CBLR may create a new de facto expectation from the agencies that a properly capitalized QCBO should have a leverage ratio greater than nine percent. As the final rule points out, “commenters expressed concern that banking organizations that do not opt in could be seen as outliers.” If this becomes a de facto standard, then QCBOs could be pressured into adopting a leverage ratio that some organizations (such as the American Bankers Association and the Independent Community Bankers of America) considered too high to begin with. Though the agencies emphasized that the CBLR is voluntary, QCBOs should still be thoughtful in their decision to use the CBLR. While QCBOs can opt in and opt out of the CBLR, the agencies noted that they expect such changes to be rare and typically driven by significant changes, such as an acquisition or divestiture of a business. The agencies have further indicated that a QCBO electing to opt out of the CBLR framework may need to provide a rationale for opting out if requested.

While the CBLR will be useful in reducing regulatory burdens on QCBOs, its adoption does not come without risk, and such a decision should be made after careful consideration.