


REFJ

The Real Estate Finance Journal

A THOMSON REUTERS PUBLICATION

SPRING 2021

FROM THE EDITOR

Loan Purchases: Lenders and Investors Weathering the COVID-19 Economic Downturn to Find the Other Side of the Rainbow*Michael J. McCarthy, Peter David Ballance, and Albert M. Singer***Commercial Landlords (and Their Lenders) Beware: Bankruptcy Courts Are Shifting Risks to Lessors in Response to the Exigent Circumstances Brought on by COVID-19***Brett H. Miller, Mark S. Edelstein, and Erica J. Richards***LIBOR Remediation Raises Lien Priority and Title Insurance Questions***Emanuel Tsourounis II and Alan W. Lawrence***Corporate Transparency Act: New Beneficial Ownership Reporting Requirements for All Entities with U.S. Operations***Andrius R. Kontrimas, Mayling C. Blanco, Robert J. Kovacev, and Lacey Stevenson***Despite Limited Success for Housing Bills in the 2020 Legislative Session, 2021 Should Present Opportunities for Developers***Timothy M. Hutter and Spencer B. Kallick***Negotiating the Right Remedy in Commercial Real Estate Purchase Agreements***Larry N. Woodard***Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Issues "Seasoned Qualified Mortgage" Rule***Stephen Ornstein***Section 1031 Like-Kind Exchange Limitations: Final Regulations Released on "Real Property" Definition***Richard Shevak and Derek Weaver***Shifting from 20th Century Rigidity to 21st Century Agility in the Workplace***Chris Kane***New Jersey Enacts Significant Economic Development Legislation Targeting Businesses and Commercial Real Estate***Frank J. Vitolo and Anthony K. Lombardo***Complying with New Jersey's Upcoming Site Remediation Action Deadline***Alexa Richman-La Londe***Dropping Anchor in the (Safe) Harbor: Colorado "True Lender" Litigation Settles***Nancy R. Thomas and Crystal N. Kaldjob***Courts Resolve Wide Range of Title Issues in Recent Rulings***Michael J. Heller, David P. Leno, Peter P. McNamara, and Matthew V. Spero*THOMSON
REUTERS

REFJ

The Real Estate Finance Journal

A THOMSON REUTERS PUBLICATION

Spring 2021

FROM THE EDITOR

**Loan Purchases: Lenders and Investors
Weathering the COVID-19 Economic Downturn
to Find the Other Side of the Rainbow**

Michael J. McCarthy, Peter David Ballance, and Albert M. Singer

3

**Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Issues
“Seasoned Qualified Mortgage” Rule**

Stephen Ornstein

53

**Section 1031 Like-Kind Exchange Limitations:
Final Regulations Released on “Real Property”
Definition**

Richard Shevak and Derek Weaver

59

**Shifting from 20th Century Rigidity to 21st
Century Agility in the Workplace**

Chris Kane

63

**New Jersey Enacts Significant Economic
Development Legislation Targeting Businesses
and Commercial Real Estate**

Frank J. Vitolo and Anthony K. Lombardo

67

**Complying with New Jersey’s Upcoming Site
Remediation Action Deadline**

Alexa Richman-La Londe

73

**Dropping Anchor in the (Safe) Harbor:
Colorado “True Lender” Litigation Settles**

Nancy R. Thomas and Crystal N. Kaldjob

77

**Courts Resolve Wide Range of Title Issues in
Recent Rulings**

*Michael J. Heller, David P. Leno, Peter P. McNamara, and
Matthew V. Spero*

81

**Commercial Landlords (and Their Lenders)
Beware: Bankruptcy Courts Are Shifting Risks
to Lessors in Response to the Exigent
Circumstances Brought on by COVID-19**

Brett H. Miller, Mark S. Edelstein, and Erica J. Richards

11

**LIBOR Remediation Raises Lien Priority and
Title Insurance Questions**

Emanuel Tsourounis II and Alan W. Lawrence

17

**Corporate Transparency Act: New Beneficial
Ownership Reporting Requirements for All
Entities with U.S. Operations**

*Andrius R. Kontrimas, Mayling C. Blanco, Robert J. Kovacev,
and Lacey Stevenson*

21

**Despite Limited Success for Housing Bills in
the 2020 Legislative Session, 2021 Should
Present Opportunities for Developers**

Timothy M. Hutter and Spencer B. Kallick

25

**Negotiating the Right Remedy in Commercial
Real Estate Purchase Agreements**

Larry N. Woodard

29

EDITOR

Robert G. Koen
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

MANAGING EDITOR

Erbayne W. Jarvis
Thomson Reuters

SUBMISSIONS EDITOR

Steven A. Meyerowitz
Meyerowitz Communications Inc.
All editorial correspondence, manuscripts, etc.,
should be sent to:
Steven A. Meyerowitz, Esq.
President/Meyerowitz Communications Inc.
26910 Grand Central Parkway, # 18R
Floral Park, NY 11005
646.539.8300
smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com

THE REAL ESTATE FINANCE JOURNAL
(ISSN 0898-0209) is published quarterly by Thomson
Reuters, 610 Opperman Drive, Eagan, MN 55123-1396.

Editorial Offices: Thomson Reuters, 50 Broad Street
East, Rochester, NY 14694. All editorial correspondence,
manuscripts, etc., should be sent to this address.
Although the utmost care will be given material
submitted, we cannot accept responsibility for unsolicited
manuscripts.

Subscription: For subscription information or for customer
service, call 1-800-328-4880. Periodicals postage paid at
St. Paul, MN.

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No part of this journal may be
reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or
otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval
system without the written permission of the copyright
owner. This publication is designed to provide accurate
and authoritative information in regard to the subject
matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the
publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting
or other professional service. If legal or accounting
advice or other expert assistance is required, the
services of a competent professional should be sought.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be
used, and may not be used, for the purposes of 1)
avoiding penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue
Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to
another party any transaction or matter addressed
herein.

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

Ronald B. Bruder
President
The Brookhill Group

Stevens A. Carey
Partner
Pircher, Nichols & Meeks

Jonathan L. Kempner
Fellow, Advanced Leadership Initiative
Harvard University

Yonguo Liang, Ph.D.
Head, Global Research and
Public Markets
Abu Dhabi Investment Authority (ADIA)

Stephen Rushmore
President and CEO
HVS

Stuart M. Saft
Partner
Holland & Knight LLP

Joshua Stein
Partner
Joshua Stein PLLC

BOARD OF ADVISORS

Jason Barnett
Vice Chairman & General Counsel
RXR Realty LLC

Cia Buckley
Partner
Dune Capital Management

Dino P. Christoforakis
Head of Transactions, North America
AFIAA U.S. Investment, Inc.

Frederick N. Cooper
Senior Vice President
Toll Brothers, Inc.

Louis M. Dubin
Managing Partner
Redbrick LMD

Andrew L. Farkas
CEO
Island Capital

Kyle Gore
Managing Director, Real Estate Finance
CGA Group

David Hamm
Director Real Estate Transactions
RREEF Management L.L.C.

Ross Hilton Kemper
President
Kingswood Capital LLC

Ronald J. Kravit
Managing Principal
Cerberus Real Estate Capital
Management, LLC

Richard J. Mack
Mack Real Estate Group

Joseph Mizrachi
Managing Member
Third Millennium Group

Shelby E.L. Pruett
CEO
Capri EGM, LLC

Lorenz Reibling
Chairman and Senior Partner
Taurus Investment Holdings LLC

Stephen Siegel
Chairman, Global Brokerage
CB Richard Ellis

Rich H. Singer
President
Winter Properties

David R. Soares
President and CEO
Lexden Capital, LLC

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Issues “Seasoned Qualified Mortgage” Rule

*Stephen Ornstein**

In this article, the author discusses a new rule issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau that will create a safe harbor for well-performing loans.

On December 10, 2020, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) issued an innovative final rulemaking that creates a pathway to “safe harbor” qualified mortgage (“QM”) status for performing non-QM and “rebuttable presumption” QM loans that meet certain performance criteria portfolio requirements over a seasoning period of at least 36 months and that satisfy certain product restrictions, points and fees limits, and underwriting requirements before consummation.

The CFPB promulgated this “seasoned QM” rulemaking¹ simultaneously with the rule that terminates the “QM Patch” and amends the general QM rules.

The seasoned QM rule is effective for applications received on or after March 1, 2021.

Background

Under the revised general QM rule, for first-lien transactions, a loan receives a conclusive presumption that the consumer had the ability

to repay (and hence receives the “safe harbor” presumption of QM compliance) if the annual percentage rate (“APR”) does not exceed the average prime offer rate (“APOR”) for a comparable transaction by 1.5 percentage points or more as of the date the interest rate is set.

A first-lien loan receives a “rebuttable presumption” that the consumer had the ability to repay if the APR exceeds the APOR for a comparable transaction by 1.5 percentage points or more but by less than 2.25 percentage points. The revised general QM rule provides for higher thresholds for loans with smaller loan amounts, subordinate-lien transactions, and certain manufactured housing loans. Loans with higher APRs than these thresholds are designated as non-QMs.

In order to qualify for QM status, the loan must meet the statutory requirements for the three percent points and fees limits and must not contain negative amortization, a balloon

^{*}Stephen Ornstein, a partner in the Washington, D.C., office of Alston & Bird and co-leader of the firm’s Consumer Financial Services Team, concentrates his practice on federal and state mortgage banking, consumer credit, and ancillary services regulatory issues. Mr. Ornstein may be contacted at stephen.ornstein@alston.com.

payment (except in the existing limited circumstances), or a term exceeding 30 years.

Pathway to Safe Harbor QM Status

In the seasoned QM rule, a non-QM loan or rebuttable presumption QM receives a safe harbor from ability-to-repay (“ATR”) liability at the end of a seasoning period of at least 36 months as a seasoned QM if it satisfies certain product restrictions, points-and-fees limits, and underwriting requirements and the loan meets the designated performance and portfolio requirements during the seasoning period. The CFPB’s stated purpose of the rule is to “enhance access to responsible, affordable mortgage credit” and to incentivize “the origination of non-QM and rebuttable presumption QM loans that a creditor expects to demonstrate a sustained and timely mortgage payment history.”

Criteria for a Seasoned QM

In order to become eligible to become a seasoned QM and receive a safe harbor from ATR liability at the end of the 36-month seasoning period, the loan must meet the following criteria:

- The loan is secured by a first lien;
- The loan has a fixed rate, with regular, substantially equal periodic payments that are fully amortizing and no balloon payments;
- The loan term does not exceed 30 years;
- The loan is not subject to the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act;
- The loan’s points and fees do not exceed

the three percent threshold or other specified applicable limit;

- The creditor must consider the consumer’s debt-to-income ratio or residual income, income or assets, other than the value of the dwelling, and debts, and verify the consumer’s income or assets, other than the value of the dwelling, and the consumer’s debts, using the same consider and verify requirements established for general QMs in the general QM rule;
- Subject to limited exceptions, the creditor must hold the loan for the entire 36-month seasoning period; and
- The loan must meet certain performance criteria; namely, there must have been no more than two delinquencies of 30 or more days and no delinquencies of 60 or more days at the end of the seasoning period.

Seasoning Criteria

The CFPB defines the seasoning period as a period of 36 months beginning on the date the first periodic payment is due after consummation unless there is a delinquency of 30 days or more at the end of the 36th month of the seasoning period - then the seasoning period continues until this delinquency ends.

Further, the seasoning period is tolled (and hence, does not include) any period during which the consumer is in a “temporary payment accommodation” extended in connection with a disaster or pandemic-related national emergency as long as certain conditions are met.

The rule clarifies that the seasoning period

can only resume after the temporary accommodation if any delinquency is cured either pursuant to the loan’s original terms or through a “qualifying change.”

The rule defines a “qualifying change” as an agreement entered into during or after a temporary payment accommodation extended in connection with a disaster or pandemic-related national emergency that ends any preexisting delinquency and meets certain other conditions such as not increasing the amount of interest charged over the full term of the loan as a result of the agreement or imposing fees on the consumer.

Portfolio Retention

The rule requires the creditor that originates the loan to hold it in its portfolio for the entire 36-month seasoning period unless one of the limited exceptions applies. Notably, the rule permits the creditor to sell or assign a single loan as long as the assignee retains the loan for the remainder of the seasoning period and the loan is not securitized.

The two other exceptions to the portfolio are (1) sales or assignments of loans during a merger involving the creditor and another party, and (2) transfers of ownership pursuant to certain supervisory sales such as a conservatorship or bankruptcy.

Loan Performance

To be eligible as a seasoned QM, the loan must have no more than two delinquencies of 30 or more days and no delinquencies of 60 or more days at the end of the 36-month seasoning period. Under the rule, delinquency means the failure to make a periodic payment (in one full payment or in two or more partial

payments) sufficient to cover principal, interest, and escrow (if applicable) for a given billing cycle by the date the periodic payment is due under the terms of the legal obligation. Other amounts, such as any late fees, are not considered for this purpose.

Moreover, a periodic payment is 30 days delinquent when it is not paid before the due date of the following scheduled periodic payment, and a periodic payment is 60 days delinquent if the consumer is more than 30 days delinquent on the first of two sequential scheduled periodic payments and does not make both sequential scheduled periodic payments before the due date of the next scheduled periodic payment after the two sequential scheduled periodic payments.

Further, notably, except for purposes of making up nominal deficiency amounts (i.e., \$50 or less) no more than three times during the seasoning period, payments from the following sources may not be considered in assessing “delinquencies”:

- Funds in escrow in connection with the loan.
- Funds paid on behalf of the consumer by the creditor, servicer, or assignee.

The CFPB has indicated that payments made from escrow accounts established in connection with the loan or from third parties on the consumer’s behalf should not be considered in assessing performance for seasoning purposes because, for example, a creditor could escrow funds from the loan proceeds to cover payments during the seasoning period even if the loan payments were not actually affordable for the consumer on an ongoing basis. The CFPB reasons that if a creditor

needs to take funds from an escrow account or from a third party to cover an outstanding periodic payment, the payment from the escrow or third party raises doubt about the consumer's ability to make the periodic payment.

GSE and Insurers Warranty Framework

In devising the performance framework for the 36-month seasoning period, the CFPB looked to the existing standards of the government-sponsored enterprises ("GSEs") and certain mortgage insurers. The CFPB observed that each GSE generally provides creditors relief from its enforcement for certain representations and warranties a creditor must make to the GSE regarding its underwriting of a loan after the first 36 monthly payments if the borrower had no more than two 30-day delinquencies and no delinquencies of 60 days or more.

Similarly, the CFPB noted that the master policies of mortgage insurers generally provide that the mortgage insurer will not issue a rescission for certain representations and warranties made by the originating lender if the borrower had no more than two 30-day delinquencies in the 36 months following the borrower's first payment, among other requirements.

Takeaways

The CFPB believes that the creation of a special seasoned QM is warranted because, in its view, many loans made to creditworthy consumers that do not fall within the existing QM loan definitions at consummation may be able to demonstrate through sustained loan performance compliance with the ATR requirements. In considering the GSEs' war-

ranty frameworks, the CFPB noted that in most, albeit not all, instances, a default after 36 months of loan performance is usually *not* attributed to deficient loan underwriting but rather to a change in the consumer's circumstances that the creditor could not have reasonably anticipated before consummation.

Further, the statute of limitations period for an affirmative private right of action for damages for an ATR violation is generally three years from the date of the violation. Consequently, a consumer would not be prevented from bringing an ATR claim during the contemplated seasoning period.

Nevertheless, conferring safe harbor QM status on a loan that was originated as a non-QM or a rebuttable presumption QM after the requisite seasoning period would curtail the consumer's ability to invoke an ATR violation as a defense to foreclosure or assert civil damages as a recoupment claim after 36 months unless the seasoning period is extended. Therefore, the CFPB contends that the special seasoned QM category will incentivize the origination of non-QM loans that otherwise may not be made - or made at a significantly higher price - due to perceived litigation, civil liability exposure, or other defense to foreclosure risks, even if a creditor has confidence that it can originate the loan in compliance with the ATR requirements.

Not surprisingly, while the residential mortgage industry strongly supported the rulemaking, consumer advocacy groups generally opposed not only significant aspects of the rule but also the concept of a seasoned QM notwithstanding the many concessions that the CFPB made to them. Although the rule has limited applicability given its many require-

ments, it is uncertain whether a new CFPB director appointed in the Biden Administration will retain the rule in its present form.

In a statement issued on February 23, 2021, the CFPB indicated that it intends to delay the general QM rule’s mandatory July 1, 2021 compliance date and may amend or revoke the “seasoned QM rule” that was supposed to become effective on March 1, 2021. In its statement, the CFPB ominously noted that it may initiate a new rulemaking to “revisit” the seasoned QM rule. The CFPB indicated that if promulgated, this rulemaking would consider whether “any potential final rule revoking or amending the Seasoned QM Final Rule should affect covered transactions for which an ap-

plication was received during the period from March 1, 2021, until the effective date of such a final rule.” Based upon this statement, the CFPB is likely to substantively amend the seasoned QM rule or jettison the rulemaking altogether. In the comments to the final seasoned QM rule, consumer groups opposed not only significant aspects of the rule but also the concept of a seasoned QM. These groups will likely have a sympathetic ear in the Biden CFPB, and hence the rule faces an uncertain fate at best.

NOTES:

¹ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/12/29/2020-27571/qualified-mortgage-definition-under-the-truth-in-lending-act-regulation-z-seasoned-qm-loan>.